Numerical analysis of the damage mechanics variable and vibration of a viscothermoelastic microbeam with variable thermal conductivity
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Abstract. In the present paper, the analysis for thermoelastic homogeneous isotropic microbeams has been constructed. A generalized viscothermoelasticity theory of one relaxation time with variable thermal conductivity in the context of damage mechanics definition has been applied based on simply supported conditions for aspect ratios. Laplace transform has been applied for the governing differential equations, and its inverse has been carried out by using the Tzou method. Microbeam of silicon nitride has been considered when it is subjected to ramp-type heating and simply supported. The results have been illustrated in figures to stand on the impacts of the viscothermoelastic parameter, the thermal conductivity parameter, the value of the beam thickness, and the ramp-type heating parameter. The influences of the mentioned parameters are significant on all the studied functions, and the ramp-type heating parameter plays a vital role in thermodynamically damping of the energy which has been generated on the beam.
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1. Introduction

Tzou is the first one who studied the heat conduction by solving mathematical models based on dual-phase lag (DPL) [1, 2]. Many authors and researchers applied that model in the thermal transfer applications [3-8]. The model of coupled thermoelasticity theory is one of the first types of heat conduction, which consists the equation of motion and the equation of energy conservation using the classical Fourier’s law of heat conduction [9-12]. Lord and Shulman (L-S) modified the classical Fourier’s law by inserting the relaxation time (lag time) for an isotropic body [13]. Within this model, the heat conduction law has been modified to include both heat flux and its time derivative which is called non-Fourier’s or Cattaneo’s law of heat conduction. In the context of this model, the heat equation law is a hyperbolic type of differential equation and then eliminates the paradox which coming from infinite speeds of propagation of the thermal wave [14-18].

The vibration of microbeam resonators is the most important of the micro-/nano-beam subject. Alghamdi studied the damping vibration of beam resonator with voids by dual-phase-lag generalized thermoelasticity model [9]. Sharma and Grover discussed the transverse vibrations of a homogenous isotropic and thermoelastic microscale and nanoscale beam resonators with voids [19]. Sun and Saka induced the thermal damping vibration for thermoelastic of micro-circular plate resonators [20]. They inserted a new factor in their formula of thermal damping based on Poisson's ratio, which is not the same of Lifshitz and Roukes [21]. Al-Lehaibi and Youssef studied the vibration of gold...
nano-beam due to thermal shock [22]. Kidawa studied the effects of internal and external damping on transverse vibrations of a microbeam due to moving heat source by using the properties of the Green functions [23]. Boley discussed the thermal vibrations of a simply supported rectangular nanobeam due to a thermal shock distributed through its span [24]. Manolis and Beskos studied the heat transfer induced vibration of microbeams structures; he used a numerical method of analysis to the thermal of the elastic dynamic response of beam structure to thermal loading [25]. Al-Huniti et al. studied the displacements and stresses of heated a bar due to a thermal wave generated by a moving laser beam with high-power [26].

Studying of viscoelastic materials or thermal and mechanical relaxations properties became vital in mechanics. The theory of viscothermoelasticity and vibrational principles have been studied in thermodynamics by Biot [27, 28]. Drozdov introduced a constitutive thermal model for the viscothermoelastic behavior with finite strains of polymers [29]. Ezzat and El-Karmany applied a different model of viscothermoelasticity for isotropic and homogenous medium to study the influence of the thermal and mechanical relaxation of volume properties of viscothermoelastic materials [30]. Carcione et al. applied a computational algorithm for wave simulations in a thermoelastic materials by using the Kelvin-Voigt model [31]. Grover investigated the transverse vibrations in viscothermoelastic microbeams [32-34]. Sharma and Grover discussed the closed-form formulations for the transverse vibrations of a homogenous thermoelastic thin micro/nano-beam with voids [19]. Grover and Seth solved a problem of viscothermoelastic micro-scale beam resonators based on the model of dual-phase-lag (DPL) [35].

Usually, the properties of any material are constant during any process. In engineering ceramics applications, significant variations do, however, occur over the operating temperature range, in the thermal conductivity coefficient particularly. Godfrey found out that up to 45 % of decreases in the value of the thermal conductivity of different samples of silicon nitride in the range of increment (1.0 °C-400 °C). So, what are the impacts of these variations on the temperature increment, lateral deflection, stress, and energy in metal components [36]. Thus, the temperature-dependent material properties must be proposed in the analysis of thermal stress. Youssef, with many co-authors, solved many applications for thermoelastic materials with variable thermal conductivity [37-39]. Zenkour and Abbas solved a model of an infinite annular cylinder based on generalized thermoelasticity with one relaxation time for temperature-dependent properties [40].

Products typically contain many flaws in the original condition, such as microcracks or voids. Such internal holes or voids may expand and converge during a deformation cycle, whereas other content is totally removed by the development of new micro defects in stress concentrators called mechanical damage. At the latter stage, the credibility of the materials has been totally lost and macro-cracks have been created. Methane damage was graded as brittle, crawl, ductile, and fatigue according to the growing macroscopic phenomena [41].

Throughout the continuum mechanics system there is also a definition of the macros actions of the mechanically affected material. In certain cases, the principle of mechanical harm may relate the dynamics of fracture to conventional continuum mechanics. Damage variables can be introduced in different ways.

In a cross-section of the mechanically damaged medium, we thus assume an area of small element $dA$ with the $n$ vector of the unit normal. The area of the defects is $dA_n$ and the quantity of mechanical damage can be measured by the area fraction [41, 42]:

$\nu_n = \frac{dA_n}{dA}, \quad 0 \leq \nu_n \leq 1,$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\nu_n = 0$ classifies the undamaged material, while $\nu_n = 1$ theoretically comply with the totally damaged with a complete loss of stress hold ability (fracture case). In any natural material, with values of $\nu_n \approx 0.2,...,0.5$ processes taking place, which leads to a total failure. If the mechanical damage is constant through a finite area, under uniaxial tension, the relation Eq. (1)
reduces to:

\[ v_n = \frac{A_v}{A} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2) 

The effects of microcracks that are inclined to the cross-section of the materials cannot be described correctly in the same way. Correspondingly, in case of isotropic damage \( \nu \) independent of \( n \), hence, the effective stresses are given by [41, 42]:

\[ \sigma_{ij} = (1 - \nu) \bar{\sigma}_{ij}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( \bar{\sigma}_{ij} \) are the average stresses in the undamaged material. Many applications and problems have been published under this definition of damage mechanics [42-47].

2. Basic Equations

A homogenous isotropic thermally conducting, Kelvin-Voigt type viscothermoelastic solid in Cartesian coordinate has been considered and initially undeformed at a uniform temperature \( T_0 \). The displacement vector is defined as \( U(x, y, z, t) = (u, v, w) \), and the absolute temperature is given by \( T(x, y, z, t) \). The governing differential equations in the context of generalized thermoelasticity based on the non-Fourier heat conduction law will be constructed in the absence of any external body forces and heat sources as follows [34]:

The equations of motion as [2, 37]:

\[ \sigma_{ij,j} = \rho \ddot{U}_i, \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The heat conduction law in the form [48]:

\[ (K(\varphi)\varphi)_{ij} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) (\rho C_v \varphi + \beta \dot{T} (1 - \nu) \delta_{ij} e_{ij}). \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The stress-strain constitutive equations are in the form [48]:

\[ \sigma_{ij} = (1 - \nu) \left( \lambda \delta_{ij} e_{kk} + 2\mu e_{ij} - \beta \delta_{ij} \varphi \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The deformation-displacement relations are in the form [48]:

\[ e_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (U_{i,j} + U_{j,i}). \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

For the viscothermoelastic materials, Lame’s parameters are in the following forms [32, 35, 49]:

\[ \lambda = \lambda_0 \left(1 + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \quad \mu = \mu_0 \left(1 + \mu_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \quad \beta = (3\lambda + 2\mu)\alpha_T, \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where the indices \( i, j = x, y, z, \rho \) is the density, \( \alpha_T \) is the coefficient of linear thermal expansion, \( \lambda_1, \mu_1 \) are the viscoelastic relaxation times, \( \tau_0 \) is the lag time or thermal relaxation time, \( \lambda_0, \mu_0 \) Lame’s parameter in the usual case, \( K(\varphi) \) is the thermal conductivity, and \( \varphi = (T - T_0) \) is the temperature increment.

The specific heat at constant strain satisfies the following relation [48]:
\[ C_v = \frac{K}{\rho \kappa} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where \( \kappa \) is the diffusivity.

Consider the following mapping [48]:

\[ \theta = \frac{1}{K_0} \int_0^\varphi K(\xi) d\xi, \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where \( K_0 \) is the usual thermal conductivity.

Differentiating Eq. (10) for the coordinates \( x_i \), we get:

\[ K_0 \theta_i = K(\varphi) \varphi_i. \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Hence, we have:

\[ K_0 \theta_{ii} = [K(\varphi) \varphi_{ii}]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Differentiating Eq. (10) for time, we get:

\[ K_0 \theta = K(\varphi) \dot{\varphi}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Thus, the heat Eq. (5) takes the form:

\[ \theta_{ii} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \left( \frac{\theta}{\kappa} + \frac{\beta T_0}{K_0} (1 - v) \delta_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

3. Problem formulation

We assume small flexural deflections of a thin visco-thermoelastic nanobeam of length \( \ell \) (0 \( x \leq \ell \)), with \( b \) (\( -b/2 \leq y \leq b/2 \)), and thickness \( h \) (\( -h/2 \leq z \leq h/2 \)), for which the \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \) axes are defined along the longitudinal, with, and thickness directions of the beam, respectively. In equilibrium, the beam is unstrained, unstressed, without any mechanical damping, bending [6].

In this work, the well-known Euler-Bernoulli equation in one-dimensional form will be used. Thus, the displacements components are given by [32, 50, 51]:

\[ U(x, z, t) = \left(-z \frac{\partial w(x, t)}{\partial x}, 0, w(x, t) \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The flexural moment of the cross-section is given by [1, 2, 9, 10, 19]:

\[ M(x, t) = (\lambda + 2\mu)(1 - v)l \frac{\partial^2 w(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + (1 - v)\beta M_T(x, t), \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where \( l = bh^3/12 \) is the moment of inertia of the cross-section about the \( x \)-axis, and \( M_T \) is the thermal moment of the beam about the \( x \)-axis which is given by [42, 50-52]:

\[ M_T(x, t) = b \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \varphi(x, z, t) dz. \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)
Thus, the equation of the induced lateral deflection may be expressed in the form [32, 49]:

\[(1 - \nu)(\lambda + 2\mu)I \frac{\partial^4 w(x,t)}{\partial x^4} + \rho A \frac{\partial^2 w(x,t)}{\partial t^2} + (1 - \nu)(3\lambda + 2\mu)\alpha_T \frac{\partial^2 M_T(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad (18)\]

where \(w(x,t)\) is the lateral deflection, \(A = hb\) is the cross-section area.

The non-Fourier heat conduction law in Eq. (14) takes the form [32]:

\[\frac{\partial^2 \theta(x,z,t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta(x,z,t)}{\partial z^2} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2}\right) \left(\frac{\theta(x,z,t)}{\kappa} + \frac{\alpha_T T_0 (1 - \nu)(3\lambda + 2\mu)}{K_0} e(x,z,t)\right), \quad (19)\]

and:

\[e(x,z,t) = \frac{\partial u(x,z,t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v(x,z,t)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w(x,z,t)}{\partial z}, \quad (20)\]

where \(e(x,z,t)\) is the volumetric strain which gives from Eq. (15) that:

\[e(x,z,t) = -z \frac{\partial^2 w(x,t)}{\partial x^2}. \quad (21)\]

From the relation in Eq. (8), we have the following:

\[\lambda + 2\mu = (\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0) \left(1 + \beta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \quad (3\lambda + 2\mu) = (3\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0) \left(1 + \beta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right). \quad (22)\]

\[\beta_1 = \frac{(\lambda_0 \lambda_1 + 2\mu_0 \mu_1)}{(\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0)} \text{ and } \beta_2 = \frac{(3\lambda_0 \lambda_1 + 2\mu_0 \mu_1)}{(3\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0)} \text{ are the aggregation of the viscothermoelastic relaxation times parameters.}\]

We consider that the thermal conductivity is a function on the temperature increment as follows [48]:

\[K(\phi) = K_0 (1 + K_1 \phi). \quad (23)\]

Thus, the mapping in Eq. (10) takes the form:

\[\theta = \left(\phi + \frac{K_1}{2} \phi^2\right). \quad (24)\]

where \(K_1\) is a small constant (\(K_1 = 0\) gives the usual thermal conductivity).

Because there is no heat-flow across the upper and lower surfaces of the beam, so that \(\frac{\partial \varphi(x,y,z)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z = \pm h/2} = 0\). For a thin beam and assuming the temperature varies in terms of a \(\sin(pz)\) function through the thickness direction, where \(p = \pi/h\), gives:

\[\varphi(x,z,t) = \varphi_1(x,t) \sin(pz). \quad (25)\]

From Eq. (24) and (25), we have:
\[
\frac{\partial \theta(x, z, t)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=\pm h/2} = \left[ \frac{\partial \phi(x, z, t)}{\partial z} + K_1 \phi(x, z, t) \frac{\partial \phi(x, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] \bigg|_{z=\pm h/2} = 0. \tag{26}
\]

Hence, we consider that:
\[
\theta(x, z, t) = \vartheta_2(x, t) \sin(pz). \tag{27}
\]

Then, form Eqs. (17), (18), and (25), we obtain:
\[
(1 - \nu)(\lambda + 2\mu) \frac{\partial^4 w(x, t)}{\partial x^4} + \frac{12\rho}{h^2} \frac{\partial^2 w(x, t)}{\partial t^2} + \frac{12\alpha_T}{h^3} (1 - \nu)(3\lambda + 2\mu) \frac{\partial^2 \vartheta_1(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sin(pz) zdz = 0. \tag{28}
\]

Furthermore, from Eqs. (19) and (27), we get:
\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2 \vartheta_2(x, t)}{\partial x^2} - p^2 \vartheta_2(x, t) \right) \sin(pz) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \vartheta_2(x, t) \frac{\kappa}{\kappa} \sin(pz) \tag{29}
\]

Thus, Eqs. (8) and (28) gives:
\[
(1 - \nu)(\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0) \left( 1 + \beta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^4 w(x, t)}{\partial x^4} + \frac{12\rho}{h^2} \frac{\partial^2 w(x, t)}{\partial t^2} + \frac{12\alpha_T}{h^3} (1 - \nu)(3\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0) \left( 1 + \beta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \vartheta_1(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} z \sin(pz) dz = 0. \tag{30}
\]

By carrying out the integrations, the Eq. (30) takes the form:
\[
(1 - \nu)(\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0) \left( 1 + \beta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^4 w(x, t)}{\partial x^4} + \frac{12\rho}{h^2} \frac{\partial^2 w(x, t)}{\partial t^2} + \frac{24\alpha_T}{h^2 \pi^2} (1 - \nu)(3\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0) \left( 1 + \beta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \vartheta_1(x, t)}{\partial x^2} = 0. \tag{31}
\]

Multiply both sides of Eq. (29) by \(z\) and integrating for \(z\) from \(-h/2\) to \(h/2\), then, we obtain:
\[
\frac{\partial^2 \vartheta_2(x, t)}{\partial x^2} - p^2 \vartheta_2(x, t) = \epsilon \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \vartheta_2(x, t) \tag{32}
\]
where \(\epsilon = \frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{\rho c_v}{K_0}.
\]

From Eqs. (24), (25), and (27), we have:
\[
\vartheta_2(x, z, t) = \vartheta_1(x, t) + \frac{K_1}{2} \vartheta_1^2(x, t) \sin(pz), \tag{33}
\]
which gives:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_2(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_1(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + K_1 \sin(pz) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_1(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \left( \frac{\partial \varphi_1(x,t)}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right].
\]  

(34)

Since:

\[
K_1 \sin(pz) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_1(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \left( \frac{\partial \varphi_1(x,t)}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] \ll \frac{\partial \varphi_1(x,t)}{\partial x^2}.
\]  

(35)

Then, we can consider the following approximation:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_2(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \approx \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_1(x,t)}{\partial x^2}.
\]  

(36)

Hence, the Eq. (31) takes the form:

\[
\left( \lambda_0 + 2\mu_0 \right) \left( 1 + \beta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^4 w(x,t)}{\partial x^4} + \frac{12\rho \, \partial^2 w(x,t)}{h^2(1-v) \partial t^2} + \frac{24\alpha_T(3\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0)}{h\pi^2} \left( 1 + \beta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_2(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = 0.
\]  

(37)

For simplicity, we use the non-dimensional variables as follows [12, 37]:

\[
(x', w', h', l') = \epsilon c_0 (x, w, h, l), \quad (t', \tau', \beta_1', \beta_2') = \epsilon c_0^2 (t, \tau_0, \beta_1, \beta_2),
\]

\[
\sigma' = \frac{\sigma}{\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0}, \quad \varphi'_2 = \frac{\varphi_2}{T_0},
\]  

(38)

where \( c_0 = \sqrt{\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0} / \rho \).

Hence, we have:

\[
\left( 1 + \beta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^4 w(x,t)}{\partial x^4} + \epsilon_1 \frac{\partial^2 w(x,t)}{\partial t^2} + \epsilon_2 \left( 1 + \beta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_2(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = 0,
\]  

(39)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_2(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - \epsilon_3 \varphi_2(x,t) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \left( \varphi_2(x,t) - \epsilon_4 \left( 1 + \beta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^2 w(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \right),
\]  

(40)

\[
\sigma_{xx}(x,z,t) = \left( 1 + \beta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) e(x,z,t) - \gamma \left( 1 + \beta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \varphi(x,z,t),
\]  

(41)

where:

\[
\epsilon_1 = \frac{12}{h^2(1-v)}, \quad \epsilon_2 = \frac{24\gamma}{\pi^2 h}, \quad \epsilon_3 = p^2,
\]

\[
\epsilon_4 = \frac{\pi^2 h \alpha_T(1-v)(3\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0)}{24K_0 \epsilon}, \quad \gamma = \frac{T_0 \alpha_T(3\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0)}{(\lambda_0 + 2\mu_0)}.
\]  

(We dropped the prime for simplicity).

4. Formulation in the Laplace transform domain

The Laplace transform for Eq. (39) and (40), which is defined by the following formula will be applied:
\[
\tilde{f}(s) = \int_0^\infty f(t)e^{-st}dt, \quad (42)
\]

where the inverse of the Laplace transform takes the form:
\[
L^{-1}\left(\tilde{f}(s)\right) = f(t) \approx \frac{e^{\kappa t}}{t} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^n \tilde{f}\left(\kappa + \frac{in\pi}{t}\right), \quad (43)
\]

where \(\kappa t \approx 4.7 \text{Tzou [2]}\), \(Re\) is the real part and \(i\) is the imaginary unit, for faster convergence, many numerical applications and experiments have shown that the value of \(\kappa\) satisfies the relation.

Hence, we obtain the following system of differential equations:
\[
(1 + \beta_1 s) \frac{\partial^4 \tilde{w}(x,s)}{\partial x^4} + \epsilon_1 s^2 \tilde{w} + \epsilon_2 (1 + \beta_2 s) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\theta}_2(x,s)}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad (44)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\theta}_2(x,s)}{\partial x^2} - \epsilon_3 \tilde{\theta}_2(x,s) = (s + \tau_o s^2) \tilde{\theta}_2(x,s) - \epsilon_4 (s + \tau_o s^2) (1 + \beta_2 s) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{w}(x,s)}{\partial x^2}, \quad (45)
\]
\[
\tilde{\sigma}_{xx}(x,z,s) = (1 - \nu) [(1 + \beta_1 s) \tilde{e}(x,z,s) - \gamma (1 + \beta_2 s) \tilde{\varphi}(x,z,s)], \quad (46)
\]
\[
\tilde{e}(x,z,s) = -z \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{w}(x,s)}{\partial x^2}. \quad (47)
\]

During applying the Laplace transform, the following initial conditions have been used:
\[
\tilde{\theta}_2(x,0) = w(x,0) = \frac{\partial \tilde{\theta}_2(x,0)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial w(x,0)}{\partial t} = 0. \quad (48)
\]

We can re-write the above system in Eqs. (44) and (45) to be in the forms:
\[
(D^4 + \epsilon_5) \tilde{w}(x,s) = -\epsilon_6 D^2 \tilde{\theta}_2(x,s), \quad (49)
\]
\[
(D^2 - \epsilon_7) \tilde{\theta}_2(x,s) = -\epsilon_8 D^2 \tilde{w}(x,s), \quad (50)
\]

where:
\[
D^r = \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r}, \quad \epsilon_5 = \frac{\epsilon_1 s^2}{(1 + \beta_1 s)}, \quad \epsilon_6 = \frac{\epsilon_2 (1 + \beta_2 s)}{(1 + \beta_1 s)}, \quad \epsilon_7 = \epsilon_3 + (s + \tau_o s^2), \quad \epsilon_8 = \epsilon_4 (s + \tau_o s^2) (1 + \beta_2 s).
\]

The system in Eqs. (49) and (50) generates the following characteristic equation:
\[
(D^6 - LD^4 + MD^2 - N)\{\tilde{\theta}_2(x,s), \tilde{w}_2(x,s)\} = 0, \quad (51)
\]

where \(L = \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_6 \epsilon_8, M = \epsilon_5, N = \epsilon_5 \epsilon_7\).

The solutions of the Eqs. (51) take the forms:
\[
\tilde{\theta}_2(x,s) = -\epsilon_8 \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i k_i z^2 \sinh(k_i (\ell - x)), \quad (52)
\]
\[
\tilde{w}(x,s) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i (k_i^2 - \epsilon_7) \sinh(k_i (\ell - x)), \quad (53)
\]

where \(\pm k_1, \pm k_2, \pm k_3\) are the roots of the characteristic equation.
\[ k^6 - L k^4 + M k^2 - N = 0. \]  

(54)

To calculate the constants \( A_i = A_i(s), \ i = 1, 2, 3 \), we must apply any set of boundary conditions, so we consider that the beam is thermally loaded and simply supported as following:

\[
\begin{align*}
  w(0, t) &= \frac{\partial^2 w(0, t)}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad \varphi(0, t) = \vartheta_0 g(t), \\
  w(\ell, t) &= \frac{\partial^2 w(\ell, t)}{\partial x^2} = \varphi(\ell, t) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(55)

where \( \vartheta_0 \) is constant and \( g(t) \) is the function of the thermal loading.

Eqs. (25) and (55) gives that:

\[ \vartheta_1(0, t) = \vartheta_0 g(t). \]  

(57)

From Eqs. (33) and (57), we obtain:

\[ \vartheta_2(0, t) = \vartheta_0 g(t) + \frac{K_1 \sin(pz) \vartheta_0^2}{2} g^2(t). \]  

(58)

Apply the Laplace transform, we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \bar{w}(0, s) &= \frac{\partial^2 \bar{w}(0, s)}{\partial x^2} = 0, \\
  \bar{\vartheta}_2(0, s) &= \bar{\vartheta}_0 \bar{g}(t) + \frac{K_1 \sin(pz) \vartheta_0^2}{2} \bar{g}^2(t) = G(s). \\
  \bar{w}(\ell, s) &= \frac{\partial^2 \bar{w}(\ell, s)}{\partial x^2} = \bar{\vartheta}_2(\ell, s) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(59)

(60)

(61)

Then, we obtain the following system of linear equations:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i k_i^2 \sinh(k_i \ell) = -\frac{G(s)}{\varepsilon_8},
\]

(62)

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i (k_i^2 - \varepsilon_7) \sinh(k_i \ell) = 0,
\]

(63)

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i (k_i^2 - \varepsilon_7) k_i^2 \sinh(k_i \ell) = 0.
\]

(64)

After solving the above system, then, we get the solutions in the Laplace transform domain as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \bar{\vartheta}_2(x, s) &= \frac{G(s)}{\varepsilon_7} \left[ \frac{(\varepsilon_7 - k_2^2)(\varepsilon_7 - k_3^2)k_1^2}{(k_1^2 - k_2^2)(k_1^2 - k_3^2)\sinh(k_1 \ell)} \sinh(k_1(\ell - x)) \\
  &+ \frac{(\varepsilon_7 - k_2^2)(\varepsilon_7 - k_3^2)k_2^2}{(k_2^2 - k_1^2)(k_2^2 - k_3^2)\sinh(k_2 \ell)} \sinh(k_2(\ell - x)) \\
  &+ \frac{(\varepsilon_7 - k_2^2)(\varepsilon_7 - k_3^2)k_3^2}{(k_3^2 - k_1^2)(k_3^2 - k_2^2)\sinh(k_3 \ell)} \sinh(k_3(\ell - x)) \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(65)

which gives:
We get the temperature increment \( \varphi(x, z, t) \) from Eqs. (24) and (66) as follows:

\[
\varphi(x, z, t) = -1 + \sqrt{1 + 2K_1 L^{-1}[\theta(x, z, s)]}.
\]

The lateral deflection is:

\[
\bar{w}(x, s) = G(s)\varepsilon_6 \varepsilon_7 \left[ \frac{1}{(k_1^2 - k_2^2)(k_1^2 - k_3^2)} \sinh(k_1(\ell - x)) - \frac{1}{(k_2^2 - k_1^2)(k_2^2 - k_3^2)} \sinh(k_2(\ell - x)) + \frac{1}{(k_3^2 - k_1^2)(k_3^2 - k_2^2)} \sinh(k_3(\ell - x)) \right],
\]

and the deformation takes the form:

\[
\bar{e}(x, s) = -z \frac{G(s)}{2} \varepsilon_6 \varepsilon_7 \left[ \frac{1}{(k_1^2 - k_2^2)(k_1^2 - k_3^2)} \sinh(k_1(\ell - x)) - \frac{1}{(k_2^2 - k_1^2)(k_2^2 - k_3^2)} \sinh(k_2(\ell - x)) + \frac{1}{(k_3^2 - k_1^2)(k_3^2 - k_2^2)} \sinh(k_3(\ell - x)) \right].
\]

The stress-strain energy through the beam is given by [12, 37]:

\[
\sigma(x, z, t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \sigma_{ij} e_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{xx}(x, z, t) e(x, z, t).
\]

Hence, we have:

\[
\sigma(x, z, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ L^{-1}\left(\bar{\sigma}_{xx}(x, z, s)\right)\right]\left[ L^{-1}(\bar{e}(x, s))\right],
\]

where \( L^{-1}[\bullet] \) is defined as the inversion of Laplace transform.

To get the complete solutions, we have to determine the function of the thermal loading \( g(t) \), so, we will consider the thermal loading is ramp-type heat as follows [38]:

\[
g(t) = \begin{cases} t, & 0 < t < t_0, \\ t_0, & t \geq t_0. \end{cases}
\]

Hence, we have:
\[ G(s) = \vartheta_0 \left( 1 - e^{-s t_0} \right) \frac{s^2}{s^2 t_0} + \frac{K_1 \sin(pz) \vartheta_0^2}{2} \left( 1 - e^{-st_0} - s t_0 e^{-st_0} \right) s^3 t_0^2. \] (73)

5. Numerical results and discussion

Now, we will consider a numerical example for which computational results are given. For this purpose, silicon nitride is taken as the thermoelastic material for which we take the following values of the different physical constants [34]:

- \( k = 43.5 \) W/(m K), \( \alpha_T = 2.71 \times 10^{-6} \) K\(^{-1}\),
- \( \rho = 3200 \) kg/m\(^3\), \( T_0 = 293 \) K, \( C_v = 630 \) J/(kg K), \( \lambda_0 = 217 \times 10^9 \) N/m\(^2\), \( \mu_0 = 108 \times 10^9 \) N/m\(^2\),
- \( \tau_0 = 4.32 \times 10^{-13} \) s, \( \lambda_1 = \mu_1 = 6.89 \times 10^{-13} \) s.

The aspect ratios of the beam are fixed as \( b = h/2 \) and \( \ell/h = 6 \). For the microscale beam, we will assume the length of the beam is in range \( \ell \in (1.0-100) \times 10^{-9} \) m, and the original time \( t \) and the relaxation time \( \tau_0 \) are of order \( 10^{-12} \) sec and \( 10^{-14} \) sec, respectively. The figures were carried by using the dimensionless variables for a beam with length \( \ell = 1.0 \), \( \theta_0 = 1.0 \), \( \tau_0 = 0.01 \), \( z = h/2 \), and \( t = 1.0 \).

Figs. 1(a-d) represent the temperature increment, the lateral deflection, the stress, and the stress-strain energy distributions, respectively, with various values of the thermal conductivity \( (K_1 = 0.0, K_1 \neq 0.0) \) when \( t \geq t_0 \). It has been noted that the parameter \( K_1 \) has significant effects on the temperature increment distribution, the lateral deflection, the stress, and the stress-strain energy distributions. An increase in the value of the parameter \( K_1 \) leads to an increase in the values of the temperature increment, lateral deflection, stress, and stress-strain energy.

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{(a) The temperature increment distribution} & \quad \text{(b) The lateral deflection distribution} \\
\text{(c) The stress distribution} & \quad \text{(d) The stress-strain energy distribution}
\end{align*} \]

Fig. 1. The state-functions distributions based on the thermal conductivity when \( t \geq t_0 \)

Figs. 2(a-d) show the temperature increment, lateral deflection, stress, and stress-strain energy
distributions, respectively, with various values of the thermal conductivity parameter \( (K_1 = 0.0, \ K_1 \neq 0.0) \) when \( t < t_0 \). It has been noted that the parameter \( K_1 \) has a limited effect on the temperature increment distribution, while it has significant effects on the lateral deflection, the stress, and the stress-strain energy distributions. The values of the peak points of the lateral deflection, stress, and stress-strain energy increase when the thermal conductivity becomes variable.

Figs. 3(a-d) and 4(a-d) show the temperature increment, lateral deflection, stress, and stress-strain energy distributions, respectively, for various values of the mechanical damage variable \( (v = 0.0, \ v = 0.3) \) when \( t \geq t_0 \) and \( t < t_0 \), respectively. It has been noted that the parameter \( v \) has a limited effect on the temperature increment distribution. The mechanical damage variable has significant impacts on the lateral deflection, stress, and stress-strain energy distributions in the two cases \( t \leq t_0 \) and \( t > t_0 \). An increase in the value of the mechanical damage variable leads to a decrease in the values of the peak points of the lateral deflection, stress, and stress-strain energy.

Figs. 5(a-d) and 6(a-d) show the temperature increment, lateral deflection, stress, and stress-strain energy distributions, respectively, for various values of the viscothermoelastic parameter \( (\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0.0,...,\beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \neq 0.0) \) when \( t \geq t_0 \) and \( t < t_0 \), respectively. It is observed that the mechanical relaxation times parameters \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) have a limited effect on the temperature increment distribution, while they have significant effects on the lateral deflection, stress, and stress-strain energy distributions. An increase in the values of the mechanical relaxation times parameters \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) leads to a decrease in the values of the peak points of the lateral deflection, stress, and stress-strain energy.
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Fig. 3. The state-functions distributions based on the damage mechanics variable when \( t \geq t_0 \)
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Fig. 4. The state-functions distributions based on the damage mechanics variable when \( t < t_0 \)
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Fig. 5. The state-functions distributions based on the viscothermoelastic parameters when $t \geq t_0$

The temperature increment distribution
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Fig. 6. The state-functions distributions based on the viscothermoelastic parameters when $t < t_0$
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Fig. 7. The state-functions distributions based on the thickness of the nanobeam when \( t \geq t_0 \)

a) The temperature increment distribution

b) The lateral deflection distribution

c) The stress distribution

d) The stress-strain energy distribution

Fig. 8. The state-functions distributions based on the thickness of the nanobeam when \( t < t_0 \)
Figs. 7(a-d) and 8(a-d) show the temperature increment, lateral deflection, stress, and stress-strain energy distributions, respectively, for various values of beam’s thickness $z$ ($z = h/4, z = h/2$) when $t_0 = 1$ and $t_0 = 2$, respectively. It has been noted that the beam’s thickness has significant effects on the temperature increment, lateral deflection, stress, and stress-strain energy distributions.

Figs. 9(a-d) and 10(a-d) show the temperature increment, lateral deflection, stress, and stress-strain energy distributions, respectively, for different values of damage mechanics parameter $\gamma = (0.0, 0.3)$ when $t = t_0 = 1.0$, for constant and variable thermal conductivity, respectively. In those figures, we discuss the effect of the damage variable to the thermal conductivity.

Figs. 9(a) and 10(a) show that the values of the temperature increment based on variable thermal conductivity are higher than the values of the temperature increment based on constant thermal conductivity for the two cases of damage mechanics variable.

Figs. 9(b) and 10(b) state that the values of the difference between the peak points of the lateral deflection based on the damage and non-damage situations with variable thermal conductivity are higher than the values of the difference between the peak points of the lateral deflection based on the damage and non-damage situations with constant thermal conductivity.

Fig. 9(c) and 10(c) state that the value of the difference between the peak points of the stress based on the damage and non-damage situations with variable thermal conductivity is higher than the values of the difference between the peak points of the stress based on the damage and non-damage situations with constant thermal conductivity.

Figs. 9(d) and 10(d) state that the value of the difference between the peak points of the stress-strain energy based on the damage and non-damage situations with variable thermal conductivity are higher than the values of the difference between the peak points of the...
stress-strain energy based on the damage and non-damage situations with constant thermal conductivity. Thus, thermal conductivity has a significant effect on the damage mechanics variable and vice versa.

Fig. 10. The state-functions distributions when the thermal conductivity is variable

Fig. 11(a-d) represents only the lateral deflection for wide range of time $t$ ($0.0 \leq t \leq 2.0$) and at distance $x = 0.2$ when $t_0 = 1.0$ with different cases.

Fig. 11(a) shows the later deflection with respect to the thermal conductivity case, where the solid line represents the case of constant thermal conductivity while the dotted line represents the variable thermal conductivity case. It is noted that the considering of variable thermal conductivity has a significant effect on the lateral deflection distribution. Considering variable thermal conductivity leads to an increase in the value of the lateral deflection.

Fig. 11(b) shows the later deflection with various values of the mechanical damage variable, where the solid line represents the undamaged case, while the dotted line represents the damaged case. It is noted that the mechanical damage variable has a significant effect on the lateral deflection distribution. An increase in the value of mechanical damage variable leads to a decrease in the value of the lateral deflection.

Fig. 11(c) shows the later deflection with various values of the mechanical relaxation time parameter, where the solid line represents the thermoelastic case (non-visco), while the dotted line represents the viscothermoelastic case. It is noted that the mechanical relaxation time parameter...
has a limited effect on the lateral deflection distribution.

Fig. 11(d) shows the later deflection with various values of the beam’s width $z$, where the solid line represents the case of beam’s width $z = h/4$, while the dotted line represents the case of beam’s width $z = h/2$. It is noted that the beam’s width $z$ has a significant effect on the lateral deflection distribution. An increase in the value of $z$ leads to an increase in the value of the lateral deflection.

![Fig. 11. The lateral deflection for wide range of time $t$ ($0.0 \leq t \leq 2.0$) and at distance $x = 0.2$ when $t_0 = 1.0$](image)

Overall, the results in this work agree with the results in other papers, mainly in the cases $K_1 = u = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ [9, 10, 12, 19, 22, 25, 32, 37].

6. Conclusions

A simply supported viscothermoelastic microbeam has been thermally loaded by ramp-type heating considering variable thermal conductivity and damage mechanics variable.

The ramp-type heating parameter, thermal conductivity, and thickness of the microbeam have significant effects, while the damage mechanics variable and the mechanical relaxation time have a limited impact on the temperature increment. The ramp-type heating parameter, thermal conductivity, thickness of the microbeam, damage mechanics variable, and mechanical relaxation time have significant effects on the lateral deflection, deformation, stress, and stress-strain energy distributions. Thus, the considering of the variability of the thermal conductivity and damage mechanics variable are more significant among studying the microbeams resonators. The thermal
conductivity and damage mechanics variable between them have a significant mutual effect. The ramp-time heat parameter can be used as a tuner to the vibration and the generated entire energy of the microbeam.
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