Classification of diaphysis based on the mechanical response of femur bone
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Abstract. This work deals with the biomechanical analysis of the Captum Collum Diaphysis (CCD) femur bone. The femur is the largest bone in the upper leg. The angle between femur neck and femur shaft of the femora is a parameter in determining the CCD or FSA angle. 126 ° is the usual angle for a healthy adult and variation in this angle leads to the CCD. This angle in the femur bone helps in determining the knock knee and bow leggedness orthopaedic disease. This angle impacts on the distribution of stress and deflection in the femur bone during the daily activities. Computational Multi-Scale analysis has been done for homogenized properties of femur bone. A Numerical simulation has been made for the biomechanical analysis of CCD femur bone using Finite Element Method. There is significant impact of stress distribution and deflection over the femur bone in case of change in optimum CCD angle (coxa norma) and also leads to change the natural frequency of the bone. Predicted results shows the above mentioned disease behaviour over the healthy bone. The study of these deformity and their results are of clinical importance in musculoskeletal behaviour of the human femur bone.
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1. Introduction

The femur is the longest, voluminous and strongest bone in the human body. The Fig. 1 shows various parts of femur bone and presents the most important terms and definitions [1]. The femur is divided in three main parts. The upper extremity consists of a rounded head which contacted with the acetabulum of the hip bone to form the hip joint having narrow neck with two protuberances for muscle connection known as the greater and lesser trochanter. The body or shaft (corpus femoris) is cylindrical with upper part is slightly broader above than lower and is curve in geometry with front is convex and behind to be concave. The lower extremity (distal extremity) is more prominent in length compared to the upper extremity with two oblong eminences known as the condyles as shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Ventral and dorsal view of femur bone](image)

![Fig. 2. Structure of femur](image)

Fig. 2 describes the two main kinds of bone structure of the femur, the spongy trabecular bone and compact cortical bone: The outside part of the shaft of the femur consists of compact bone and forms the outer cover for all bones of the body. Trabecular bone is for providing support
strength at the two ends of the weight-bearing bone and found at the extremes heads of long bones. The hip joint of the femur is filled with a micro-fine small strut of spongiosa bone. This fine framework near the joint is the function of this structure is to distribute the load and to act as a shock absorber or dash pot. Bone marrow is yet another essential material present in the bone behaving like the spongy tissue carries red blood cells and white blood cells. During standing on one leg, the neck of the femur has to transmit about 2.5 to 6 times the body weight (BW) as axial loading due to lever relationships. To withstand this high load, a well-adapted design and structure has to exist. The cortical bone has a higher density and stiffness than the spongiosa bone and is therefore, better adapted to higher local stresses compare to trabecular bone [2]. Now a day’s a prevalent orthopaedic disease is found in the human based on the femur shaft angle (FSA). The angle between the neck and shaft of the femur is known as FSA or CCD (Caput Collum-Diaphysis) angle. CCD usually measures approximately 126° in adults (coxa norma). An abnormally small angle between femur shaft and neck is known as coxa vara generally ranges in between 100° to 120° and larger angle than this known to be as coxa valga generally ranges 130° to 160° (Fig. 3). This angle changes in shape of the femur naturally affect the knee, coxa valga may lead to the problem of genu varum (bow-leggedness), while coxa vara creates to the genu valgum (knock-knees) deformity [3]. Bowlegs is a problem associated with larger angle in which human legs appear bowed out; it means that the knees stay wider apart even when the ankles are together. Bowlegs is an indication of a disease, like a Blount’s disease or rickets, and leads to arthritis in the knees and hips [3-5]. Treatment options include braces, casts, or surgery to correct these bone abnormalities. Knock knee is a type of deformity. A human affected with this type of deformity has a large gap between their feet when they are standing with their knees together. Knock knees is a condition that needs treatment, especially if the condition develops in older children or adults, or doesn’t improve with age. Genu valgum (knock knee) is the condition where the femurs took the positions in which the knees touch one another. Another deformity is of opposite extreme is known as Genu varum (bow-leggedness). In this work we considered 146° for coxa valga and 106° for coxa vara deformity in the femur bone compare to 126° for coxa norma.

![Fig. 3. Caput collum diaphysis deformity based on FSA [3]](image)

2. Materials and methods

The generated model is of an ideal femur bone of an age of 27 years old individual healthy human whose weight is 75 kg which was recreated from DICOM images and is imported in the Ansys Design Modeller. Geometry for caput collum diaphysis was modeled in the space claim resembling the same that of actual deformity in the femur bone. Fig. 4 shows the flow chart for the analysis of the work carried out for this research work.2D femur bone modeled from the ideal femur bone model obtained from DICOM Images in ANSYS SpaceClaim as of same mass moment of inertia that of the actual model in ANSYS for carrying the analysis of different CCD femur bone. An optimized Meshing required for Finite Element Analysis of the femur model and developed in ANSYS Workbench, a proper setting has been executed in order to use smaller and finer elements based on the relevance, smoothing proximities and curvatures for the model. The model is meshed using 9015 tetrahedral elements. The material properties are assigned for
different portions of femur bone, Table 1 provides the parameters used to model the femur model.

For the present work Homogenization has been done using Computational Multiscale Analysis System (CMAS) in ANSYS to get the homogenized material properties of the bone. The creation of a micro-scaled model of femur bone has been done in ANSYS design modeler using CMAS. For this unidirectional centered and corner cortical bone and Trabecular bone structure surrounded by the bone marrow matrix is chosen and assignment of the material properties to the individual elements followed by meshing of the micro model. Required homogenized properties were evaluated after solving the meshed models are shown in Table 2.

The boundary condition for the femur bone model is an important task in FEA, fixed boundary condition has been applied on the distal end (condyles) of the femur and the hip contact force has been applied on the head of the femur in order to calculate the normal stresses in the first stance of walking [13].

Static structural analysis is carried out to evaluate the Stresses induced in the femur bone. In this analysis, we need to measure the hip contact force that applied on the head of the femur during normal activities like standing. Considering the typical weight of 75 kg, a hip contact force of 627 N is applied at the hip contact region in femur head and distal end of femur bone is fixed.
Modal analysis was carried out to evaluate the natural frequencies and corresponding mode shapes. The transient analysis carried out corresponding to one gait cycle of walking (Fig. 5) [17] for all the cases of the deformity.

![Fig. 5. Hipcontact force vs time during walking](image)

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Static results for the bone with various FSA angle

Static analysis has been carried out on both 2D and 3D model of the femur bone with different FSA to evaluate the von mises stresses induced in the human femur bone. The hip contact force is applied at the head, while the distal end is fixed. The maximum stress for CCD angle 106° is 66.305 MPa while the maximum deflection is 17.182 mm and for the CCD angle 146° maximum stress is 73.241 MPa which occurred at the neck and shaft region of the femur bone with the maximum deflection of 18.11 mm. As the angle direction for the femur shaft and head of femur are aligned in different direction, so the deflection is leading opposite in direction to each other for both the cases. From the Data source it shows that the permissible deformation for the normal femur bone is in the range as mention in the literature [5].

![Fig. 6. Total deformation in: a) coxa valga, b) coxa norma, c) coxa vara in 3D model static analysis](image)

![Fig. 7. Vonmises stress distribution: a) coxa valga, b) coxa norma, c) coxa vara in 3D model static analysis](image)
From Figure 6 and 7 total deformation and stress induced are nominal and are in the acceptable range [13] for the coxa norma bone. While there is increase in the deformation and stress distribution for the coxa valga and coxa vara deformed bone. For both condition, deformation is more and are in opposite direction which may lead the bones to move closer and apart during standing and walking creates the condition of the knock knee and bow leggedness while from Fig. 8 shows the result for 2D model static analysis for coxa norma in which deformation of 3.256 mm and maximum stress of 19.431 MPa and the pattern of stress distribution is almost same as 3D model shown in Fig. 6. Evaluated values of total deformation and stress are shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bone</th>
<th>Coxa valga 3D model</th>
<th>Coxa valga 2D model</th>
<th>Coxa norma 3D model</th>
<th>Coxa norma 2D model</th>
<th>Coxa vara 3D model</th>
<th>Coxa vara 2D model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSA angle (degrees)</td>
<td>146°</td>
<td>146°</td>
<td>126°</td>
<td>126°</td>
<td>106°</td>
<td>106°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformation (mm)</td>
<td>18.110</td>
<td>19.431</td>
<td>4.606</td>
<td>3.256</td>
<td>17.182</td>
<td>15.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress (MPa)</td>
<td>73.241</td>
<td>71.202</td>
<td>21.299</td>
<td>19.431</td>
<td>66.305</td>
<td>64.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>4120</td>
<td>4208</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>3980</td>
<td>3902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Modal results for the bone with various FSA angle

Further a modal analysis has been conducted for the estimation of the natural frequencies for femur bone with three distinct cases of deformity. The analysis predicted the natural frequencies of the bone in order to avoid the resonance condition during any daily activity. Table 3 having natural frequencies values for different deformities. Results predict the change in the natural frequency of the femur bone with the different FSA angle as the mass and stiffness changes for it. the variation in the frequencies for the coxa valga and coxa vara conditions compare to the coxa norma shown in Table 3.

3.3. Transient analysis for the bone with various FSA angle

The transient analysis was also conducted on the bone to study the behavior of the bone under forces as a function of time.
Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 shows the stress distribution and deformation within the bone. Values are small for the coxa norma compared to coxa valga and coxa vara. These two deformities cause an increase in the stress and deflection level with the function of time. The neck and shaft portion of the bone shows the severity in stress and deflection distribution.

4. Conclusions

In this work we make a comprehensive model of human femur bone to study the static and dynamic properties, it was noticed that stresses induced in CCD’s (coxa vara and coxa valga). Static and dynamic deformation of bone is also be more significant during deformities. These results will aid the tests related to strength, fixation and friction of implants and also important for surgeon in femur surgeries and bone prosthesis. An increase in the angle may lead to the bowing of legs knee, while a decrease in angle leads to the increase in the stress and deformation and having the tendency of knocking knee.
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