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Abstract. This paper proposes a novel fault feature extraction method with the aim of extracting the fault feature submerged in the single-channel observation signal. The proposed method integrates the strengths of the constrained independent component analysis (cICA) extracting only the signals of interest (SOIs) with the advantage of ensemble empirical mode decomposition (EEMD) alleviating the mode mixing. The method, which is named EEMD-based cICA, not only enables gear fault feature extraction but also offers a new independent component analysis (ICA) mixing model with source noise and measured noise for the single-channel observation signal. The efficiency of the proposed method is tested on simulated as well as real-world vibration signals acquired from a multi-stage gearbox with a missing tooth and a chipped tooth, respectively.
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1. Introduction

In general, the goal of independent component analysis (ICA) [1-4] is to recover all the source signals from mixed signals at a time. ICA is one of the outstanding techniques for solving the signal blind source separation (BSS) problem, which has been widely applied to the source signals separation and feature extraction [3, 4] in the applications of biomedical engineering, telecommunications, mechanical engineering and audio. However, there are many problems to be solved for ICA applications: (1) classical ICA algorithm has some ambiguities, such as unknown number of source signals, undetermined the variance (energies) and the order of the independent components (ICs); (2) ICA model does not consider the source noise and measured noise simultaneously [3]; (3) It is desired to extract only the signals of interest (SOIs). (4) The difficulty of the single-channel observation signal signature extraction based on ICA, it belongs to the extreme case of the underdetermined BBS problem [4]. Therefore, it would be important to develop approaches to extract only the desired signal with given signature instead of all source signals from the single-channel observation signal.

ICA algorithm as the most important blind signal extraction (BSE) method has been used to extract the ICs, whose number is the same as the measured signals, but the SOIs are unknown. Hiroshi et al. [5] proposed time-frequency based ICA method to extract SOIs, but it needs some source signals to have dominant powers. W. Lu and J.C. Rajapakse [6, 7] proposed the constrained ICA (cICA) or ICA with reference (ICA-R) algorithms by incorporating a prior information into the conventional ICA algorithm, which means that only a single statistical IC will be extracted from the mixed signals, but it does not specifically discuss how to generate a reference signal. Zhi-Lin Zhang [8] developed a morphological cICA algorithm to extract weak temporally correlated signals from a pregnant woman ECG data, this method used second-order statistics based approach to design the suitable reference signal. Zhan-Li Sun et al. [9] proposed an improved cICA by using the reference based unmixing matrix initialization, which overcame the unstable problem encountered in cICA algorithm. Changli Li et al. [10] proposed an improved ICA-R algorithm for the non-invasive extraction of the fetal ECG (FECG), which alternately maximizes the negentropy contrast function for FastICA and the closeness measure function in ICA-R. Xiang Wang et al. [11] extended the conventional cICA framework to the case of
complex-valued mixing model and presented different prior information, the method is named as ICA with cyclostationary constraint (ICA-CC) and ICA with spatial constraint (ICA-SC). Zhiyang Wang et al. [12, 13] introduced cICA into the machine fault diagnosis, and attained some successful applications.

In practice, for most of the ICA-based methods, it should not be applied to the underdetermined BSS cases, in which the number of sensors is less than the source signals [4]. Especially in the extreme underdetermined BSS case, that is to say, single-channel observation signal separation, the number of sensor is only one. This is a very undesirable requirement for real-world applications because the number of active source signals is unknown in advance in most practical situations. In this case, single-channel observation signal mixing matrix is not invertible, and the traditional ICA or cICA methods fail to recover all sources, which also leads to the result that the desired signal cannot be extracted directly from the single-channel observation signal. Therefore, single-channel observation signal needs to be separated into several statistically independent components by using some approaches. Among these approaches, wavelet transform (WT) [14, 15] and empirical mode decomposition (EMD) [16, 17] are most usually employed to play the role of decomposing signal into various time scales. D.S Lee et al. [18] presented WT and PCA-based monitoring methods and illustrated its great potential in monitoring multiscale and multivariate processes. Wu, et al. [19, 20] combined continue WT with ICA to accomplish the early fault diagnosis of bearing. But WT requires choosing wavelet basis and decomposing layers, which makes it a non-self-adaptive signal processing method in nature. Empirical mode decomposition (EMD) algorithm [16, 17] can self-adaptively decompose any complex signal into a set of intrinsic mode functions (IMFs) according to the analyzed signal itself characteristic, and each IMF denotes a simple oscillatory mode in nature with different frequency component imbedded in the original signal. B. Mijovic et al. [21, 22] proposed a new method of sources separation from single-channel signal based on EMD and ICA. Q. Miao et al. [23] used EMD-based ICA method to extract the bearing fault feature. But EMD still has some disadvantages, such as end effects and modes mixing. Wu and Huang [24] developed and improved the EMD algorithm substantially, and proposed the ensemble empirical mode decomposition (EEMD) algorithm, which effectively alleviates the mode mixing of EMD algorithm. M. Žvokelj et al. [25] developed a method of multivariate and multiscale monitoring of bearings using EEMD and PCA, and then proposed an approach of non-linear multivariate and multiscale monitoring and signal denoising strategy using EEMD and KPCA [26]. Wang et al. [27] integrated EEMD and ICA to diagnosis wind turbine gearbox. After several years, Žvokelj et al. [28] again developed an EEMD-based multiscale ICA method to diagnosis the slewing bearing fault.

So far, the method of cICA combined with EEMD is seldom used to mechanical signals processing. Therefore, a so-called EEMD-based cICA method is proposed and applied to the BSE of single-channel observation signal. The validity and practicability of this proposed method are verified through simulation and experiments of gear fault characteristics extraction with a missing tooth and a chipped tooth, respectively.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the ICA model and the mixing model of the single-channel observation signal with source noise and measured noise. The single-channel signal separation and fault feature extraction method of EEMD-based cICA are elucidated in Section 3. Then, simulation and experiments are demonstrated in Section 4 and Section 5, respectively. Finally, Section 6 provides a conclusion.

2. Mixing model of single-channel measured signal based on ICA

2.1. Independent component analysis

In essence, ICA algorithm [1-4] assumes a set of $m$ observable measured signals $x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), ..., x_m(t)]^T$ to be a linear combination of $n$ unknown and statistically independent components $s(t)$, which can be written as:

$$
x(t) = As(t)
$$
independent sources $s(t) = [s_1(t), s_2(t), \ldots, s_n(t)]^T$ ($n \leq m$). The time series usually have unit variance and uncorrelation by using a linear “whitening” transform. Then ICA mixing model can be expressed as:

$$x(t) = As(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $A_{m \times n}$ is the mixing matrix, usually $m = n$.

ICA algorithm must find a separating or de-mixing matrix $W$ such that:

$$y(t) = Wx(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $y(t) = [y_1(t), y_2(t), \ldots, y_n(t)]^T$ is an approximate estimation of source signals $s(t)$.

### 2.2. Mixing model of single-channel measured signal

In Eq. (1), if the row number $m$ of the mixing matrix $A$ is equal to 1, i.e $m = 1$, then the classical ICA mixing model is rewritten as:

$$x(t) = As(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $A_{1 \times n}$ is an unknown non-singular linear mixing vector, $A = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$.

Consider the additional source noise and measured noise, and rewrite the Eq. (3) as:

$$x(t) = A[s(t) + e_s(t)] + e_m(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $e_s(t)$ and $e_m(t)$ represent the source noise and measured noise, respectively.

Eq. (4) shows the noisy ICA mixing model of the single-channel observation signal $x(t)$. It belongs to the extreme case of the underdetermined BBS problem, and cannot be solved directly. For this reason, we developed an EEMD-based cICA method to separate fault signal from the single-channel observation signal $x(t)$.

### 3. Single-channel signal separation and fault feature extraction

#### 3.1. Ensemble empirical mode decomposition

#### 3.1.1. Empirical mode decomposition

Empirical mode decomposition (EMD) was pioneered by Huang et al. [16] in 1998. EMD has the ability of nonlinear multi-resolution self-adaptive signal processing, and is very applicable to processing the nonstationary data. A complicated signal $x(t)$ can be decomposed into the sum of $n$ IMF components $\{c_j(t), j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and a residue $r_n(t)$ by EMD method:

$$x(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j(t) + r_n(t).$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

#### 3.1.2. EEMD algorithm

EMD method has been successfully applied to mechanical signal processing [17]. Nevertheless, EMD cannot extract mechanical fault feature accurately because of the mode mixing phenomenon, which can make physical meanings unclear. To alleviate this drawback, Wu and Huang [24] developed and improved the EMD algorithm substantially, and proposed the ensemble empirical mode decomposition (EEMD) algorithm. Y. H. Wang et al. discussed the computational
complexity of EMD/EEMD algorithms [29]. The decomposition procedures of EEMD are expressed briefly as follows:

1. Add a differently generated white noise $e_i(t)$ with a different magnitude $\sigma_{ei}$ to the original signal $x(t)$ each time to generate a new signal:

$$x_i(t) = x(t) + \sigma_{ei} e_i(t).$$

(6)

2. Decompose the newly generated signal $x_i(t)$ into IMFs using the EMD method:

$$x_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} c_{i,j}(t) + r_{i,n}(t),$$

(7)

where $c_{i,j}(t)$, $r_{i,n}(t)$ and $n_i$ represent the $j$th IMF, the residue and the IMFs’ number during the $i$th trial, respectively.

3. Calculate the ensemble means of the corresponding IMFs of $N_1$ times decompositions, and take it as the final result:

$$\bar{c}_j(t) = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} c_{i,j}(t),$$

$$\bar{r}_n(t) = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} r_{i,n}(t).$$

(8)

4. Finally, the original signal $x(t)$ is formed as follows:

$$x(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{c}_j(t) + \bar{r}_n(t).$$

(9)

### 3.1.3. Criterions of IMF selection

EEMD method can effectively alleviate the mode aliasing, but it will produce false components during its decomposition procedures. Therefore, we propose the following criterions of IMFs selection in order to eliminate the influence of false IMFs.

#### 3.1.3.1. Correlation coefficient-based

The correlation coefficient $\rho$ between IMF $\bar{c}_j(t)$ and original signal $x(t)$ is as follows:

$$\rho(\bar{c}_j, x) = \frac{\text{cov}(\bar{c}_j(t), x(t))}{\sigma_{\bar{c}_j} \sigma_x} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \bar{c}_j(k)x(k)}{\sigma_{\bar{c}_j} \sigma_x}. $$

(10)

When IMF includes some fault characteristics, the correlation coefficient between the IMF and the original signal is relatively larger, on the contrary, it is much smaller.

#### 3.1.3.2. Kurtosis-based

However, when the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the observation signal $x(t)$ is extremely low, that is to say, the concealed fault information is very weak. In this case, even if the IMF includes effective fault information, the correlation coefficient between the corresponding IMF
and the original signal could be also very small. Therefore, we must introduce another criterion of IMF section, i.e. kurtosis-based combined with the correlation coefficient-based criterion. The kurtosis of the IMF is expressed as:

\[
K(\tilde{c}_j) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\tilde{c}_j(k)}{\sigma_{\tilde{c}_j}}\right)^4.
\]  

In Eqs. (10-11), \(\tilde{c}_j(k)\) and \(x(k)\) are zero-mean, i.e. \(\mu_x = \mu_{\tilde{c}_j} = 0\), \(\sigma\) denotes the standard deviation, and \(N\) is the data length.

Usually, the larger the kurtosis value of the IMF, the more prominent the effective fault information of the corresponding IMF.

3.2. cICA principle

Constrained independent component analysis (cICA) [6, 7] method is derived from independent component analysis (ICA) algorithm. By incorporating an interesting priori information into the traditional ICA algorithm, cICA algorithm forms a constraint optimization problem, and ensures that the ICA model output is a necessarily desired independent component (IC), which is closest to a corresponding reference signal \(r(t)\) [12]. The reference signal \(r(t)\) with interesting fault feature denotes the inequality constrained condition but need not be a perfect match with the desired IC. We take \(\epsilon(r, y)\) as the closeness measure norm between the IC \(y(t)\) and the corresponding reference signal \(r(t)\). Note that the desired IC, which is extracted from the new observation signal vector \(x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), ..., x_m(t)]^T\), is the one and only the one closest to the corresponding constructed reference signal \(r(t)\), which is satisfied the following the inequality relationship:

\[
\epsilon(r, w^T_i x) < \epsilon(r, w^T_\star x) \leq \cdots \leq \epsilon(r, w^T_{l-1} x),
\]

where \(w_\star\) is the optimum de-mixing vector corresponding to the desired output IC, and \(w_i, i = 1, 2, ..., l - 1 (w_i \neq w_\star)\) are any other \(l - 1\) local optimal solutions corresponding to the undesired output ICs. Thus, an inequality constraint, only when the optimum equation \(y = y_\star = w^T_\star x\) is satisfied, is expressed as follows:

\[
g(y) = \epsilon(r, y) - \zeta \leq 0,
\]

where \(\zeta \in [\epsilon(r, w^T_\star x), \epsilon(r, w^T_i x)]\) is a threshold parameter, the closeness measure norm \(\epsilon(r, y)\) is usually expressed by \(\epsilon(r, y) = E\{r - y)^2\}\).

The model of cICA framework [5, 6] as a constrained optimization problem is defined as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\max & \quad J(y) \approx \rho [E\{f(y)\} - E\{f(u)\}]^2, \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad g(y) \leq 0, \\
& \quad h(y) = E(y^2) - 1 = 0, \quad h(r) = E(r^2) - 1 = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(J(y)\) denotes the negentropy function, \(f(\cdot)\) is an any non-quadratic function, \(\rho\) is a positive constant, \(u\) is a Gaussian variable with zero-mean and unit variance, \(g(y)\) is the closeness constraint described in Eq. (13), and the equality constraints \(h(\cdot)\) ensure that the output \(y(t)\) and the reference signal \(r(t)\) have unit-variance.

The model of cICA algorithm is efficiently solved by the use of an augmented Lagrangian function [7]. At the same time, we use the signal-to-interference ratio (SIR) index [12] to evaluate the extraction quality of the cICA algorithm. The larger the SIR, the better the extraction effect of cICA algorithm. More details about the model of cICA framework are expressed as a constrained optimization problem.
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optimization problem in Refs. [6-13].

3.3. Constructing reference signal for cICA in gearbox diagnostics

The faulty signal in gear transmission system mostly appears as a periodical impact sequence. Hence, we may select a series of pulses or square wave as the suitable reference signal, such as Eq. (13) below:

\[ r(t) = \text{square}(2\pi f_m t + \theta, w), \]  

(15)

where \( f_m \) is the gear meshing frequency, \( \theta \) is the initial phase angle or time-delay and \( w \) is the duty ratio or impulse-wide.

3.4. Procedures of the proposed approach

The proposed method is a good candidate for extracting the desired source signal from the single-channel measured signal with source noises and measured noise. Its procedures can be described as follows:

Step 1: Decompose the gearbox single-channel measured signal \( x(t) \) according to Eq. (6), and obtain \( n \) IMF components.

Step 2: Compute the kurtosis of each IMF and correlation coefficient between each IMF and the original signal \( x(t) \), select the IMF components with greater kurtosis and correlation coefficient to compose a new observation vector with the original signal \( x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), ..., x_m(t)]^T \), \( m \leq n \).

Step 3: Construct the reference signal \( r(t) \) with the desired fault signature, then extract the fault signal \( y_i(t) \) with cICA method.

Step 4: Analyze the extracted fault signal \( y_i(t) \) with Hilbert envelope spectrum and obtain the desired fault feature.

4. Simulation analysis

The aim of the simulation is to extract the desired low-frequency weak fault signal from the mixed data set. According to Eq. (16), we generated three source signals, \( s_1, s_2 \) and \( s_3 \), whose time domain waveforms are shown in Fig. 1:

\[
\begin{align*}
    s_1(t) &= X_1[1 + m_{11}^A \cos(2\pi f_c t) + m_{12}^A \cos(2\pi \cdot 2f_c t)] \cos(2\pi f_{pm} t + \theta_1), \\
    s_2(t) &= X_2[1 + m_{21}^A \cos(2\pi f_c t) + m_{22}^A \cos(2\pi \cdot 2f_c t)] \cos(2\pi \cdot 2f_m t + \theta_2), \\
    s_3(t) &= X_3 \cos(2\pi f_1 t) + X_3^2 \cos(2\pi \cdot 2f_1 t),
\end{align*}
\]

(16)

where signal \( s_2 \) is desired to be extracted, but its energy is weak. The parameter values of three simulated source signals, \( s_1, s_2 \) and \( s_3 \) in Eq. (16) are listed in Table 1.

| Table 1. Parameter values of the simulated signal |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| \( f_{pm} \)     | \( f_c \)        | \( f_m \)        | \( f_r \)        | \( X_1 \)        | \( X_2 \)        | \( \theta_1 \), \( \theta_2 \) | \( m_{11}^A \), \( m_{21}^A \) | \( m_{12}^A \), \( m_{22}^A \) | \( f_1 \)         | \( X_{31} \)      | \( X_{32} \)      |
| 530 Hz           | 5.3 Hz           | 46.5 Hz          | 1.5 Hz           | 6                | 2                | 0                | 1                | 0.5              | 25 Hz           | 2                | 1                |

The source noise \( e_{s1} \), \( e_{s2} \) and \( e_{s3} \) are respectively added to the three source signals \( s_1, s_2 \) and \( s_3 \) with SNR of -5dB. Three noisy signals are randomly mixed by a mixing vector \( A \) and get a single-channel mixed signal. Then the mixed signal is added a Gaussian white noise \( e_m(t) \) with the amplitude standard deviation of 2. Finally, we obtain a single-channel simulated signal \( x(t) \), whose time-domain waveform, FFT spectrum and envelope spectrum are shown in Fig. 2. Among
the three source signals, signal \( s_2(t) \) without source noise \( e_{s2}(t) \) is expected to be extracted from the mixed signal \( x(t) \) by using the proposed method.

From Fig. 2, the low-frequency modulation frequency \( f_r \) (1.5 Hz) is invisible except for the frequency components \( 2f_m \) (93 Hz), \( f_{pm} \) (530 Hz) and the modulated frequency \( f_c \) (5.3 Hz).

---

**Fig. 1.** Time domain waveforms of three simulated source signals without noise

**Fig. 2.** Mixed signal \( x(t) \) and its spectrum and envelope spectrum with SNR of –5 dB

**Fig. 3.** EEMD decomposition results of the mixed signal \( x(t) \) with SNR of –5 dB
Fig. 3 depicts the decomposition results with EEMD method for the mixed signal \( x(t) \). The kurtosis of each IMF and the correlation coefficients between each IMF and the signal \( x(t) \) are listed in Table 2. Among the IMFs, although the correlation coefficient value of \( c_1 \) is very big, it is a high frequency noise and not to be considered. So, based on the criterions of kurtosis and correlation coefficient, we select the IMFs \( c_3, c_4, c_5 \) (\( K > 3.0 \) and \( \rho > 0.2 \)) combined with the original signal \( x(t) \) to construct a new observation vector. We generate a suitable reference signal \( r(t) \) (shown in Fig. 4(a)) with frequency \( f_m \) (46.5 Hz) of signal \( s_2 \), and then use the cICA method to successfully extract a desired source signal \( y_q(t) \) (shown in Fig. 4(b)) as the closeness of the simulated signal \( s_2(t) \). The SIR value of the extracted signal \( y_q(t) \) is 3.16 dB.

### Table 2. Kurtosis and correlation coefficients of IMFs by EEMD method with SNR of –5 dB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMFs</th>
<th>( c_1 )</th>
<th>( c_2 )</th>
<th>( c_3 )</th>
<th>( c_4 )</th>
<th>( c_5 )</th>
<th>( c_6 )</th>
<th>( c_7 )</th>
<th>( c_9 )</th>
<th>( \rho )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( K )</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FFT spectrum and envelope spectrum of the extracted signal \( y_q(t) \) are shown in Fig. 5. Apparently, the modulated sidebands of low-frequency \( f_r \) (1.5 Hz) around the center frequency \( 2f_m \) (93 Hz) is very evident. Of course, the original source signal \( s_2 \) is not completely recovered for the strong source noise, but the low-frequency weak feature has been extracted from the mixed signal \( x(t) \) with other strong signals and noise influence.

![Fig. 4. Suitable reference signal \( r(t) \) and its extracted desired signal \( y_q(t) \) using EEMD-based cICA](image)

![Fig. 5. FFT spectrum and envelope spectrum of the extracted signal \( y_q(t) \) using EEMD-based cICA](image)

Fig. 6 shows the decomposition results and its FFT spectra with EMD-based cICA method for the mixed signal \( x(t) \). The SIR value of the extracted signal \( y(t) \) is 1.58 dB. Obviously, EMD-based cICA method can also expresses the feature frequency \( f_r \) (1.5 Hz) of signal \( x(t) \), but
its effect is a bit worse than the EEMD-based cICA method. The simulated results show that the proposed method can effectively extract the low-frequency weak gear fault signals from the single-channel observation signal.

Fig. 6. Extracted signal $y(t)$ using EMD-based cICA and its FFT spectrum and envelope spectrum

5. Experimental signals analysis

Next, we use the real-world signal from a multi-stage gearbox to verify the effectiveness of our approach, the single-channel vibration signals with a missing tooth and a chipped tooth localized on the gear $Z_3 (=36)$ of the two-stage fixed-shaft gearbox in this experiment are studied, respectively. The schematic diagram of gearbox test rig is shown in Fig. 7.

**Fig. 7.** Schematic diagram of gearbox test rig

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Characteristic frequencies of gearbox</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Items</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth number $Z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft rotating frequency $f_r$ / Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meshing frequency $f_m$ / Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental fault gear photos are shown in Fig. 8. The rotating frequency $f_r$ of motor is 24.0 Hz, sampling frequency is 5120 Hz and data length is 15 kB samples. Characteristic frequencies are listed in Table 3, where $f_r$, $f_S$ and $f_C$ denote the rotating frequency of motor, sun gear, planet carrier, respectively, $f_m$ and $f_{pm}$ denote the meshing frequency of fixed-shaft gearbox.
and planetary gearbox, respectively. The gear fault characteristic frequency is \( f_{r2} \) (1.52 Hz), and its corresponding meshing frequency is \( f_{m1} \) (152.3 Hz).

![Fig. 8. Photos of faulty gear \( Z_3 \): a) missing a tooth; b) a chipped tooth](image)

### 5.1. A missing tooth signal analysis

Fig. 9 illustrates the FFT spectrum and envelope spectrum of the gear vibration signal \( x_1(t) \) with a missing tooth. The main frequency components are the meshing frequency \( f_{pm} \) (525 Hz) of planetary gearbox and its harmonics, the modulated frequency is the planet carrier rotating frequency \( f_c \) (5.25 Hz), which does not mean that the planetary gearbox has any fault according to the reference [30]. However, it is difficult to distinguish any obvious fault feature frequency \( f_{r2} \) (1.52 Hz) because the fault feature with a missing tooth is not apparent.

![Fig. 9. Single-channel signal \( x_1(t) \) with a missing tooth and its FFT spectrum & envelope spectrum](image)

**Table 4.** Kurtosis and correlation coefficients of IMFs by EEMD with a missing tooth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMFs</th>
<th>( c_1 )</th>
<th>( c_2 )</th>
<th>( c_3 )</th>
<th>( c_4 )</th>
<th>( c_5 )</th>
<th>( c_6 )</th>
<th>( c_7 )</th>
<th>( c_8 )</th>
<th>( c_9 )</th>
<th>( c_{10} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( K )</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10 depicts the decomposition results of the single-channel observation signal \( x_1(t) \) in Fig. 9(a) by using EEMD method. The kurtosis of each IMF \( (c_1-c_{10}) \) and the correlation coefficient between each IMF \( (c_1-c_{10}) \) and the fault signal \( x_1(t) \) with a missing tooth are listed in Table 4. Based on the criterions of kurtosis and correlation coefficient, we select the IMFs \( c_1-c_5 \) \((K > 3.2 \text{ and } \rho > 0.1)\) combined with the original signal \( x_1(t) \) to construct a new observation vector. Through generating a proper reference signal \( r(t) \) (shown in Fig. 11(a)) with the meshing frequency of \( f_{m2} \) (54.8 Hz), we successfully extract the desired fault signal \( y_{1*}(t) \) (shown in
Fig. 11(b)) with cICA method. Obviously, the periodical impacts at \( T = 0.67 \text{ s} \) \((\approx 1/f_{r2} = 1/1.52)\) in time domain are very evident. The corresponding FFT spectrum and envelope spectrum of the extracted signal \( y_{1*}(t) \) are shown in Fig. 12(a) and (b), respectively. As shown in Fig. 12, it can be clearly distinguished that there are plentifully modulated sidebands around the right side of frequency \( 2f_{m2} \) (109.6 Hz). The obvious fault feature frequency is \( f_{r2} \) (1.52Hz), which is corresponding to the shaft 2 rotating frequency \( f_{r2} \) of the fault gear \( Z_3 \) (= 36) with a missing tooth on the fixed-shaft gearbox.

![Fig. 10. EEMD decomposition results of the original signal \( x_1(t) \) with a missing tooth](image)

![Fig. 11. Proper reference signal \( r(t) \) and its extracted desired fault signal \( y_{1*}(t) \) using EEMD-based cICA method with a missing tooth](image)

![Fig. 12. FFT spectrum and envelope spectrum of the extracted desired fault signal \( y_{1*} \).](image)

To compare the effect, the extracted result of EMD-based cICA method is shown in Fig. 13,
the result is not as good as the EEMD-based cICA method.

![Graph](image1.png)

**Fig. 13.** Extracted results using EMD-based cICA method with a missing tooth

### 5.2. A chipped tooth signal analysis

Fig. 14 demonstrates the FFT spectrum and envelope spectrum of the gear fault vibration signal $x_2(t)$ with a chipped tooth. The main frequency components are also the meshing frequency $f_{pm}$ (525 Hz) of planetary gearbox and its high order harmonics, and the fault modulated frequency is still the planet carrier rotating frequency $f_c$ (5.25 Hz), which is uninterested for us. However, it is much difficult to identify the fault feature frequency $f_{r2}$ (1.52 Hz) because the fault signal with a chipped tooth is much fainter.

![Graph](image2.png)

**a) Single-channel observation signal $x_2(t)$ with a chipped tooth**

**b) FFT spectrum $x_2(t)$**

**c) Envelope spectrum $x_2(t)$**
Fig. 14. Single-channel signal $x_2(t)$ with a chipped tooth and its FFT spectrum and envelope spectrum

Fig. 15 shows the decomposition results of the single-channel observation signal $x_2(t)$ in Fig. 14(a) using EEMD method. The kurtosis of each IMF ($c_1$-$c_{10}$) and the correlation coefficient between each IMF ($c_1$-$c_{10}$) and the fault signal $x_1(t)$ with a chipped tooth are listed in Table 5. Based on the criterions of kurtosis and correlation coefficient, we select the IMF components $c_1$-$c_5$ ($K > 3.2$ and $\rho > 0.1$) combined with the original signal $x_2(t)$ to construct a new observation vector. The unchanged reference signal $r(t)$ is shown in Fig. 11(a), then we utilize cICA method to successfully extract the desired fault signal $y_{2*}(t)$, whose time domain waveform, FFT spectrum and envelop spectrum are shown in Fig. 16. From Fig. 16(a), the periodical impacts at $T = 0.67$ s ($\approx 1/f_{r2} = 1/1.52$) in time domain is evident, but it is not as clear as that shown as in Fig. 11(b). In Fig. 16(b), we can clearly distinguish that there are some modulated sidebands around the right side of frequency $2f_{m2}$ (109.6 Hz). The fault feature frequency is 1.52 Hz from Fig. 16(c), which is also corresponding to the shaft 2 rotating frequency $f_{r2}$ of the faulty gear $Z_3$ (= 36) with a chipped tooth on the fixed-shaft gearbox.

Similarly, if we use the EMD-based cICA method to analysis the signal $x_2(t)$, the effective low-frequency fault feature $f_{r2}$ (1.52 Hz) will not be extracted, as shown in Fig. 17.

Table 5. Kurtosis and correlation coefficients of IMFs by EEMD with a localized chipped tooth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMFs</th>
<th>$c_1$</th>
<th>$c_2$</th>
<th>$c_3$</th>
<th>$c_4$</th>
<th>$c_5$</th>
<th>$c_6$</th>
<th>$c_7$</th>
<th>$c_8$</th>
<th>$c_9$</th>
<th>$c_{10}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experimental results indicate that the proposed method is effective and available for low-frequency fault feature extraction, especially for the weak fault feature extraction of the gearbox single-channel observation signal.
Conclusions

Aiming at the shortcomings of traditional ICA method and trying to solve the key problem of the extremely underdetermined single-channel blind source separation and fault feature extraction with source noise and measured noise, we proposed an approach combining the advantages of EEMD and cICA. Through simulation and experiments of gear low-frequency fault feature extraction for the single-channel observation signal, the results verify the effectiveness of this proposed method, which is suitable for the gearbox fault diagnosis, especially for the low-frequency and weak fault diagnosis of gearbox. Further study is yet required to introduce the additional denoising processes to enhance this proposed method performance in the low SNR case. Notably, this proposed method is also suitable for other signals feature extraction that show
periodicity characteristics, such as the bearing fault signal, the internal combustion engine fault signal.
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