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Abstract. This paper presents the approximate optimal vibration control methodology for discrete nonlinear vehicle active suspension subject to persistent road disturbances. Based on a dynamic model of nonlinear vehicle active suspension and a linear exogenous system model of the persistent road disturbances, a nonlinear two-point boundary value (TPBV) problem is introduced. By introducing a sensitive parameter, the original TPBV problem is reformed as a series of TPBV problems without nonlinear items. A discrete approximate optimal vibration controller (DAOVC) can be obtained by solving a Riccati equation, Stein equation, and nonlinear compensation item, respectively. An iteration algorithm is designed to realize the computational realizability of DAOVC based on the control performance. It is demonstrated that the control performance of ride comfort, road holding ability, and suspension deflection under the DAOVC are much smaller than the ones under the classical feedforward and feedback optimal vibration controller (FFOVC) and open-loop vehicle suspension system.
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1. Introduction

Vehicle active suspension plays an important role in the vehicle safety technology. By using the active actuator that supplies reasonable forces, the various performance criteria can be satisfied, such as the good ride comfort, reliable road holding capability, and slight suspension deflection [1-3]. However, there are inconsistencies among those performance criteria [4]. Meanwhile, due to complicated surroundings, vehicle active suspension is exposed to external perturbations caused by road roughness. Consequently, optimal vibration control problems for vehicle active suspension have been attracted many attentions of scholars, and many control strategies were devised to offset the vibration of active suspension during the past few decades, such as linear quadratic optimal control [5], feedback and feedforward optimal active control [2], stochastic optimal active control [6], optimal preview active control [7], and so on.

Nonlinear response occurs inevitable in vehicle active suspension, which is mainly caused by nonlinear characteristics of suspension springs and dampers, complicated structure, and tire liftoff cases [8, 9]. However, it may trigger the unsafe or instability of vehicle suspension [10]. In the recent decades, some positive results were devised for nonlinear vehicle active suspension. A feedback active controller was proposed for nonlinear vehicle suspension with actuator delay in [8]; a robust predictive controller was designed for nonlinear active suspension systems in [10]; by using the T-S fuzzy approach, [11] devised an adaptive sliding-mode control for nonlinear active suspension vehicle systems; an adaptive sliding fault tolerant controller was proposed for nonlinear uncertain active suspension systems with actuator faults in [12]; taken the characters of electromagnetic active suspension into consideration, an adaptive vibration controller was proposed for the nonlinear quarter car model in [13]. The control strategies mentioned above can guarantee the stability and improve the control performance of nonlinear vehicle active suspension from different aspects.
With advantage of high reliability, low power consumption, and simple installation [14, 15], the vehicle active suspension under digital control system is easy to be installed, which is the trend of future development of the vehicle safety technology. However, the classical optimal control problem for nonlinear digital control systems will lead to a nonlinear TPBV problem, and the analytical solution is hard to be obtained. Therefore, it is necessary to design a discrete approximate optimal vibration controller for nonlinear vehicle active suspension to improve the control performance. This is the motivation of this paper.

According to the above discussion, in this paper, a DAOVC for nonlinear vehicle active suspension will be devised by solving the nonlinear TPBV problem. With respect to a quadratic average performance index, the discrete vibration control problem is formulated as a nonlinear TPBV problem. By introducing a sensitive parameter $\varepsilon$ and using the Machlaurin series at $\varepsilon = 0$, the DAOVC can be obtained by integrating the solution of a sequence of reformed TPBV problem, which includes the system state feedback item, the road disturbance feedforward item, and the nonlinear compensation item. Also, the existence and uniqueness of DAOVC are proved, and the computational realizability of DAOVC is realized by using a designed iterative algorithm.

The outline of this paper is as follows. The vibration problem for discrete nonlinear vehicle active suspension is described in Section 2. Section 3 shows the main results, in which the effectiveness of our developed DAOVC. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. Problem formulation

2.1. Discrete nonlinear vehicle active suspension model

Consider a simplified nonlinear vehicle suspension shown in Fig. 1 [3, 4, 8, 9]. The dynamic equation can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}(t) &= m_s \ddot{z}_s(t) + b_s (\dot{z}_s(t) - \dot{z}_u(t)) + k_s (z_s(t) - z_u(t)) + \varepsilon_1 (z_s(t) - z_u(t))^3, \\
-\dot{u}(t) &= m_u \ddot{z}_u(t) + b_s (\dot{z}_u(t) - \dot{z}_s(t)) + k_s (z_u(t) - z_s(t)) + k_t (z_u(t) - z_r(t)) \\
-\varepsilon_2 k_t (z_u(t) - z_r(t))^3,
\end{align*}
\]

where $m_s$ and $m_u$ denote the sprung mass and unsprung mass, receptively; $b_s$ and $k_s$ are the stiffness and damping of vehicle passive suspension; $k_t$ denotes the compressibility of the pneumatic tire; $\varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_2$ are the nonlinear parameters. $z_s(t)$ and $z_u(t)$ stand for the displacements of the sprung mass and unsprung mass, respectively. $z_r(t)$ denotes the external road displacement acting on the active suspension, and $u(t)$ represents the active control force generated from the hydraulic pressure or electromagnetic equipment.

As it is well known, the performance criteria of ride comfort, road holding ability, and suspension deflection must be small enough to improve the ride comfort, prevent from the excessive suspension bottoming, and obtain the good road holding, respectively. Therefore, the controlled output $y_c(t)$ can be defined as:

\[
y_c(t) = [\ddot{z}_s(t) \quad z_s(t) - z_u(t) \quad z_u(t) - z_r(t)]^T.
\]

Introducing the following state variables as:

\[
x_1(t) = z_s(t) - z_u(t), \quad x_2(t) = z_u(t) - z_r(t), \quad x_3(t) = \dot{z}_s(t), \quad x_4(t) = \dot{z}_u(t),
\]

and defining:
The space state expression of nonlinear active vehicle suspension can be constructed as:

\[
\dot{x}(t) = \bar{A}x(t) + \bar{B}u(t) + \bar{D}v(t) + \bar{f}(x(t)), \quad y_c(t) = Cx(t) + Eu(t),
\]

where:

\[
\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{b_s}{m_s} & \frac{b_s}{m_u} \\
-\frac{k_s}{m_u} & \frac{b_s}{m_u} & -\frac{c_t}{m_u} & -1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{D} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
-1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{k_s}{m_s} & 0 & \frac{c_s}{m_s} \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\bar{f}(x(t)) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
-\frac{\varepsilon_1 k_s x_2^3}{m_s} \\
\varepsilon_1 k_s x_1^3 + \varepsilon_2 k_t x_2^3 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
v(t) = \dot{z}_r(t).
\]

Road disturbance \(v(t)\) is considered as vibration caused by road roughness [3-5, 8]. As stated in [3, 8], the road disturbances can be derived from a random process with ground displacement power spectral density (PSD):

\[
S_g(\Omega) = \begin{cases}
S_g(\Omega_0)(\Omega/\Omega_0)^{-n_1}, & \Omega \leq \Omega_0, \\
S_g(\Omega_0)(\Omega/\Omega_0)^{-n_2}, & \Omega > \Omega_0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \(\Omega\) denotes a spatial frequency, \(\Omega_0 = 1/2\pi\) is a reference frequency. The value of \(S_g(\Omega_0)\) denotes the measurement for the road roughness, where \(n_1\) and \(n_2\) are the roughness constants.

The road displacement input \(z_r(t)\) from road irregularities in Eq. (1) can be written as:

\[
z_r(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{p} \xi_n(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{p} \phi_n \sin(n\omega_0 t + \theta_n),
\]

where \(\phi_n = \sqrt{2S_g(2n\pi\Omega/l) \times (n\pi\Omega/l)}, l\) is the length of a road segment, \(\theta_n \in (0, 2\pi)\) is a
random variable, \( \Omega_0 = 2\pi/(lv_0) \), and \( p \) can restrict the frequency range of a vehicle body. Then, the road disturbance \( \bar{v}(t) \) can be described as:

\[
\bar{v}(t) = \dot{z}_r(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{p} n \omega_0 \phi_n \cos(n \omega_0 t + \theta_n). 
\]

(9)

The following state space vectors are defined as:

\[
\bar{w}(t) = [\xi_1^T(t) \quad \xi_2^T(t) \xi_3^T(t) \quad \xi_4^T(t)]^T. 
\]

(10)

Then the road disturbance input \( v(t) \) can be formulated as the output of a random linear exogenous system with an unknown initial value:

\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{w}(t) = \tilde{G} w(t), \\
v(t) = Fw(t),
\end{cases}
\]

(11)

where \( w(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2p} \) is the state vector with unknown initial value \( w_0 \), the expressions of \( \tilde{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{2p \times 2p} \) and \( F \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 2p} \) are represented as:

\[
\tilde{G} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & I \\
\bar{G} & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad
F = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad
\bar{G} = \text{diag}\{-\omega_0^2, \ldots, -(p\omega_0)^2\},
\]

(12)

where \( \omega_0 = 2\pi v_0 l \), and \( v_0 \) is the constant horizontal velocity. Positive integer \( p \) limits the considered frequency band lower than 20 Hz in road disturbances Eq. (8).

Defining \( T \) as the sampling period, the discrete expression of Eq. (5) can be given by:

\[
\begin{cases}
x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) + Du(k) + f(x(k)), \\
y_c(k) = Cx(k) + Eu(k),
\end{cases}
\]

(13)

where \( A = e^{AT} \), \( B = \int_0^T e^{AT} \bar{B} \, dt \), \( D = \int_0^T e^{AT} \bar{D} \, dt \), and \( f(x(k)) = \int_0^T e^{AT} \bar{f}(x(t)) \).

Meanwhile, the discrete form of exogenous system Eq. (11) can be described as:

\[
w(k+1) = Gw(k), \quad v(k) = Fw(k),
\]

(14)

where \( G = e^{\bar{G}T} \).

In order to trade off among the various performance requirements, the following quadratic average performance index is chosen as:

\[
J = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{k=0}^{N} [y_c^T(k)Qy_c(k) + u^T(k)\bar{R}u(k)],
\]

(15)

where \( Q \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \) is a positive semi-definite matrix, \( \bar{R} > 0 \) is a constant.

In what follows, we will discuss how to design the DAOVC for system Eq. (5) subject to road disturbances (14) such that the quadratic average performance index Eq. (15) reaches its minimum value. The following assumptions and lemma are given first.

Assumption 1: The triple \((A \quad B \quad C)\) is completely controllable-observable.

Assumption 2: The nonlinear vector function \( f(\cdot): C^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^n \) in Eq. (5) is piecewise continuous in \( t \) with \( f(0) = 0 \) satisfying Lipschitz conditions on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( ||f(x(\infty))|| < \gamma \), where \( \gamma \) is a positive integer related to \( \nu(\infty) \).

Assumption 3: The exogenous system Eq. (14) is stable, i.e., \( \lambda_i \leq 1 \) for any \( \lambda_i \in \sigma(G) \), where
denotes the eigenvalues of matrix $G$.

**Lemma 1:** [16] Let $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, and $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. The matrix equation:

$$A_1 X B_1 - X = C_1,$$

has a unique solution $X$ if and only if:

$$\lambda_i(A_1) \times \lambda_j(B_1) \neq 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m,$$

where $\lambda_i(\cdot)$ are eigenvalues of matrix.

### 3. Design of procedure for DAOVC

#### 3.1. Original nonlinear TPBV problem

Applying the maximum principle to the vibration control problem for Eqs. (13) and (14) with respect to the performance index Eq. (15), a nonlinear TPBV problem can be formulated as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{F}(x(1)) & = A_1 x(k) - B R^{-1} B^T \lambda(k + 1) + D v(k) + f(x(k)), \\
\lambda(k) & = Q_1 x(k) + A_1^T \lambda(k + 1) + f_x^T(x(k)) \lambda(k + 1), \\
\lambda(\infty) & = 0, \quad x(0) = x_0,
\end{aligned}$$

(18)

where $f_x^T = \partial f(x)/\partial x^T$ denotes a Jacobian matrix of $f_x$ with respect to $x$, $R = E^T Q E + \tilde{R}$, $A_1 = A - B R^{-1}(E^T Q C)$, and $Q_1 = C^T Q C - (C^T Q E) R^{-1} (E^T Q C)$.

Then, the optimal vibration controller can be expressed as:

$$u^*(k) = - R^{-1}[E^T Q C x(k) + B^T \lambda(k + 1)].$$

(19)

However, it is difficult to obtain the analytical solution of TPBV problem Eq. (18) caused by nonlinear items $f(x(k))$ and $f_x^T(x(k))$. Therefore, we will design a procedure for solving the nonlinear TPBV problem Eq. (18) to obtain DAOVC.

#### 3.2. Reformation of original nonlinear TPBV problem

By introducing a sensitive parameter $\varepsilon$, the nonlinear TPBV problem Eq. (18) can be formulated as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{F}(x(1), \varepsilon) & = A_1 x(k, \varepsilon) - B R^{-1} B^T \lambda(k + 1, \varepsilon) + D v(k) + f(x(k, \varepsilon)), \\
\lambda(k, \varepsilon) & = Q_1 x(k, \varepsilon) + A_1^T \lambda(k + 1, \varepsilon) + f_x^T(x(k, \varepsilon)) \lambda(k + 1, \varepsilon), \\
\lambda(\infty, \varepsilon) & = 0, \quad x(0, \varepsilon) = x_0.
\end{aligned}$$

(20)

Meanwhile, the optimal vibration control law $u^*(k)$ Eq. (19) can be reconstructed as:

$$u(k, \varepsilon) = - R^{-1}[E^T Q C x(k, \varepsilon) + B^T \lambda(k + 1, \varepsilon)].$$

(21)

It is assumed that $u(k, \varepsilon), x(k, \varepsilon), \lambda(k + 1, \varepsilon), f(x(k, \varepsilon))$, and $f_x^T(x(k, \varepsilon))$ are differentiable at $\varepsilon = 0$, and their Maclaurin series are described as:
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Then, the formulated TPBV problem Eq. (20) can be rewritten as:

\[
\begin{aligned}
    u(k, \varepsilon) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \varepsilon^i u^{(i)}(k), \\
    x(k, \varepsilon) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \varepsilon^i x^{(i)}(k), \\
    \lambda(k, \varepsilon) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \varepsilon^i \lambda^{(i)}(k), \\
    f(k, \varepsilon) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \varepsilon^i f^{(i)}(k), \\
    f_x^T(x(k, \varepsilon)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \varepsilon^i f_x^{(i)}(k),
\end{aligned}
\]  

(22)

where \((\cdot)^{(i)} = \partial_i \cdot / \partial \varepsilon^i \big|_{\varepsilon = 0}\). While \(\varepsilon = 1\), the formulated TPBV problem Eq. (20) is equivalent to nonlinear TPBV problem Eq. (18).

The following sequence of TPBV problems can be obtained:

\[
\begin{aligned}
    x^{(0)}(k + 1) &= x^{(0)}(k + 1) + \varepsilon x^{(1)}(k + 1) + \cdots + \frac{1}{i!} \varepsilon^i x^{(i)}(k + 1), \\
    \lambda^{(0)}(k) &= \lambda^{(0)}(k) + \varepsilon \lambda^{(1)}(k) + \cdots + \frac{1}{i!} \varepsilon^i \lambda^{(i)}(k), \\
    \lambda^{(0)}(\infty) &= 0, x^{(0)}(0) = x_0, \\
    f^{(i)}(x(k)) &= f^{(i)}(x(k)), \\
    \lambda^{(0)}(k + 1) &= A_1 \lambda^{(0)}(k + 1) + i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} C_{i-1}^j f_x^{(i-1-j)}(x(k)) \lambda^{(j)}(k + 1), \\
    \lambda^{(0)}(\infty) &= 0, x^{(i)}(0) = x_0; i = 1, 2, \ldots.
\end{aligned}
\]

(23)

From Eq. (20) and Eq. (21), one yields:

\[
u^{(i)}(k) = -R^{-1} \left[ E^T Q C x^{(i)}(k) + B^T \lambda^{(i)}(k + 1) \right].
\]

(26)

3.3. Design of DAOVC

In this subsection, we will propose the designed DAOVC and prove its existence and uniqueness. We give out the following theorem.

Theorem 1: Consider the vibration control problem for discrete nonlinear vehicle active suspension.
suspension Eq. (13) subject to road disturbance Eq. (14) with respect to the quadratic average performance index Eq. (15), the DAOVC is existent and unique, which can be expressed as:

\[ u^*(k) = -S\{(E^TQC + B^TP_1A)x(k) + B^TP_1f(x(k)) + B^T(P_2G + P_1DF)w(k) + B^T \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} g_i(k + 1)\}, \]  

where \( S = (R + B^TP_1B)^{-1}; P_1 \) is the unique positive-definite solution of the Riccati equation:

\[ P_1 = Q_1 + A_1^TP_1[A - BS(E^TQC + B^TP_1A)], \]  

(28)

\[ P_2 \] is the unique solution of the following Stein equation:

\[ P_2 = A_1^TP_2G + A_1^TP_1[DF - BSB^T(P_2G + P_1DF)], \]  

(29)

and the nonlinear compensation \( g_i(k) \) can be obtained from:

\[ g_i(k) = A_1^T(i - P_1BSB^T)g_i(k + 1) + iA_1^TP_1(i - BSB^TP_1)f^{(i-1)}(x(k)) + i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} C_{i-1}^j f^{(i-1-j)}(x(k)) [P_1x^{(j)}(k + 1) + g_j(k + 1)], \]  

(30)

where the sequence of \( \{x^{(i)}(k)\} \) can be calculated from:

\[
\begin{cases}
  x^{(0)}(k + 1) = A_1x^{(0)}(k) + [DF - BSB^T(P_2G + P_1DF)]w(k), \\
  x^{(i)}(k + 1) = A_1x^{(i)}(k) - BSB^Tg_i(k + 1) + i[I - BSB^TP_1]f^{(i-1)}(x(k)), \\
  \lambda^{(i)}(\infty) = \lambda^{(i)}(\infty) = 0, \quad x^{(0)}(0) = x^{(i)}(0) = x_0, \quad i = 1,2, \ldots.
\end{cases}
\]  

(31)

Proof: First, we will discuss the solution of \( u^{(0)}(k) \). Defining \( \lambda^{(0)}(k) \) as:

\[ \lambda^{(0)}(k) = P_1x^{(0)}(k) + P_2w(k), \]  

(32)

and substituting Eqs. (32) into (26), one gets:

\[ u^{(0)}(k) = -R^{-1}[E^TQCx^{(0)}(k) + B^TP_1x^{(0)}(k + 1) + B^TP_2Gw(k)]. \]  

(33)

Then the \( u^{(0)}(k) \) in the 0th sequence can be described as:

\[ u^{(0)}(k) = -S[(E^TQC + B^TP_1A)x^{(0)}(k) + B^TP_2G + P_1DF]w(k)]. \]  

(34)

From Eqs. (24), (32), and (34), we have:

\[
\begin{cases}
  x^{(0)}(k + 1) = (A - BS(E^TQC + B^TP_1A))x^{(0)}(k) + (DF - BSB^T(P_2G + P_1DF))w(k) \\
  \lambda^{(0)}(k + 1) = P_1(A - BS(E^TQC + B^TP_1A))x^{(0)}(k)) + \{P_2G + P_1(DF - BSB^T(P_2G + P_1DF)).
\end{cases}
\]  

(35)

Substituting Eq. (35) into the second formula in Eq. (24), one gets:

\[
\lambda^{(0)}(k) = \{Q_1 + A_1^TP_1[A - BS(E^TQC + B^TP_1A)]\}x^{(0)}(k) + \{A_1^TP_2G + A_1^TP_1[DF - BSB^T(P_2G + P_1DF)]\}w(k).
\]  

(36)
Comparing the coefficients between Eq. (32) and Eq. (36), Riccati Eq. (28) and Stein Eq. (29) can be obtained. Then, the \( u^{(0)}(k) \) can be computed.

Next, we will discuss the solution of \( u^{(i)}(k) \). Introducing the following \( \lambda^{(i)}(k) \) as:

\[
\lambda^{(i)}(k) = P_i x^{(i)}(k) + g_i(k),
\]

and substituting the first formula of Eq. (21) into \( \lambda^{(i)}(k + 1) = P_i x^{(i)}(k + 1) + g_i(k + 1) \), one gets:

\[
\lambda^{(i)}(k + 1) = P_i [A_1 x^{(i)}(k) - BR^{-1}B^T \lambda^{(i)}(k + 1) + if^{(i-1)}(x(k))] + g_i(k + 1). \tag{38}
\]

From Eqs. (26) and (37), the \( u^{(i)}(k) \) in the \( i \)th sequence can be described as:

\[
u^{(i)}(k) = -S[(E^TQC + B^TP_1A)x^{(i)}(k) + iB^TP_1f^{(i-1)}(x(k)) + B^Tg_i(k + 1)].
\tag{39}

Then one yields:

\[
\begin{cases}
x^{(i)}(k + 1) = (A - BS(E^TQC + B^TP_1A))x^{(i)}(k) \\
+ i(l - BSB^TP_1)f^{(i-1)}(x(k)) - BSB^Tg_i(k + 1), \\
\lambda^{(i)}(k + 1) = P_i (A - BS(E^TQC + B^TP_1A))x^{(i)}(k) \\
+ iP_i(l - BSB^TP_1)f^{(i-1)}(x(k)) - P_iBSB^Tg_i(k + 1) + g_i(k + 1).
\end{cases}
\tag{40}
\]

Based on Eq. (40) and the second formula of Eq. (25), we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{(i)}(k) &= A^T_1(I - P_iBSB^T)g_i(k + 1) + iA^T_1P_i(l - BSB^TP_1)f^{(i-1)}(x(k)) \\
+ i\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} C_{i-1}^j f^{(i-1-j)}(x(k)) [P_i x^{(j)}(k + 1) + g_j(k + 1)] \\
+ \{Q_1 + A^T_1P_i[A - BS(E^TQC + B^TP_1A)]\}x^{(i)}(k).
\end{align*}
\tag{41}
\]

Comparing the coefficients between Eqs. (37) and (41), the nonlinear compensation \( g_i(k) \) Eq. (30) can be obtained. From \( u^{(0)}(k) \) of Eq. (34), \( u^{(i)}(k) \) of (39), and \( u^*(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(1/i!)u^{(i)}(k) \), the DAOV can be obtained as Eq. (27).

Finally, the existence and uniqueness of DAOV Eq. (27) will be proved. Actually, it is equivalent to prove the existence and uniqueness of the matrices \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \), respectively.

Based on the Assumption 1 that the triple \((A, B, C)\) is completely controllable-observable and the optimal control theory, the positive-definite matrix \( P_1 \) is the existence and uniqueness solution of Eq. (29). The solution of nonlinear compensation Eq. (30) can be described as:

\[
g_i(k) = \lim_{m \to \infty} (A^T_1(I - P_1BSB^T))^m g_i(m)
+ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ iA^T_1P_1(l - BSB^TP_1)f^{(i-1)}(x(k + m)) \\
+ i\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} C_{i-1}^j f^{(i-1-j)}(x(k)) [P_i x^{(j)}(k + 1 + M) + g_j(k + 1 + m)] \right\}.
\tag{42}
\]

Based on Assumption 1, one has:

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \|f(x(N))\| < \gamma, \quad \lambda_i((A^T_1(I - P_1BSB^T)) < 1.
\tag{43}
\]


From Assumption 2 and Eq. (43), we have:

$$\lambda_i\left((A_i^T(I-P_iBSB^T))\times\lambda_j(G)\right)\neq 1,$$

$$i = 1, \ldots, A; \quad j = 1, \ldots, 2p.$$ \hspace{1cm} (44)

Then $P_2$ is the existent and unique solution of Stein Eq. (30) based on Lemma 1. Therefore, the proposed DAOVC Eq. (27) is the existence and uniqueness. The proof is completed.

3.4. Computational realizability of DAOVC

We can easily discover that it is impossible to obtain the vibration control law Eq. (27) caused by the infinite item $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!}g_i(k+1)$. In order to make the result more practical, a positive integer $M$ can be decided to replace the $\infty$ in Eq. (27). Then DAOVC Eq. (27) can be reformed as:

$$u_M(k) = -S\{(E^TQC + B^TP_1A)x(k) + B^TP_1f(x(k)) + B^T(P_2G + P_1DF)w(k) + B^T \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{1}{i!}g_i(k+1)\}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (45)

An iteration formula for DAOVC can be obtained:

$$u_0(k) = -S\{(E^TQC + B^TP_1A)x(k) + B^TP_1f(x(k)) + B^T(P_2G + P_1DF)w(k)\},$$

$$u_i(k) = u_{i-1}(k) + B^T \frac{1}{i!}g_i(k+1),$$

$$i = 1, 2, \ldots, M.$$ \hspace{1cm} (46)

In order to decide the value of $M$, the following iteration algorithm is proposed based on the control performance $j^{(i)}(\cdot)$ in each iteration procedure, which is described as

Step 1: Set a small positive number $\delta > 0$; let $i = 1$; calculate the values of $P_1$, $P_2$ and $u_0(k)$ from Eqs. (28), (29) and the first formula of Eq. (46), respectively;

Step 2: Substitute $u_i(k)$ into discrete vehicle active suspension Eq. (13); calculate the value of performance index Eq. (15) for the $i$th iteration procedure based on:

$$J_i = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left[y_c^T(k)Qy_c(k) + u_i^T(k)Ru_i(k)\right];$$ \hspace{1cm} (47)

Step 3: If $| (J_i - J_{i-1})/J_{i-1} | < \delta$, then define $M = i$, obtain the DAOVC law $u_M(k)$, and stop;

Step 4: Else set $i = i + 1$; obtain $g_i(k)$ based on Eq. (30) and compute $u_i(k)$ by replacing $g_i(k)$ into the second formula of Eq. (46), go to Step 2.

4. Numerical example

The parameters of vehicle active suspension are listed as [4, 8]: the sprung mass $m_s$ is 972.2 kg, the unsprung mass $m_u$ is 113.6 kg, the damping $b_s$ and stiffness $k_s$ of the vehicle passive suspension are 1095 Ns/m and 42719.6 N/m; the compressibility of the pneumatic tire $k_t$ is 10115 N/m; the nonlinear parameters $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_2 = 1$. Defining the sampling period $T$ as 0.08 s, the following matrices in Eq. (13) can be obtained:
Under the road disturbances with $v_0 = 20 \text{ m/s}$, $l = 400 \text{ m}$, $n_1 = 2$, $n_2 = 1.5$, and road roughness $S_g(\Omega_0) = 256 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3$, the road displacement input $v(k)$ can be generated from Eq. (14). Then, the road curve of road disturbances is depicted in Fig. 2.

Let the weight matrices in Eq. (11) be $Q = \text{diag}(3 \times 10^7, 5 \times 10^7, 10^7)$ and $R = 1$. In order to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed DAOVC, the classical FFOVC is used to compare with the proposed DAOVC, which is described as:

$$
\mathbf{u}(k) = -S\{E^TQC + B^TP_1A)x(k) + B^T(P_2G + P_1DF)w(k)\}. 
$$

Setting the small positive number $\delta = 0.001$, we obtain that the control performance in 5th iteration procedure satisfies $|J_5 - J_4| = \mathbf{u}_5(k)$ in the 5th iteration satisfies with the requirement of proposed iteration algorithm. Meanwhile, the control performances under the OL system and FFOVC are $3.584 \times 10^5$ and $3.491 \times 10^4$, respectively. The control performance values in each iteration are listed in Table 1, and the reduction percentage is displayed compared with that of OL system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iterative time $M$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control performance</td>
<td>$9.232 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$7.343 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$6.125 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$3.951 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$3.948 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction percentage</td>
<td>72.24%</td>
<td>79.51%</td>
<td>82.91%</td>
<td>88.98%</td>
<td>88.98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The covers of the FFOVC and proposed DAOVC are shown in Fig. 3. The response curves and frequency spectrums of the sprung mass acceleration (SMA), sprung deflection (SD), and tire deflection (TD) are shown in Figs. 4-6 under the open-loop (OL) system, DAOVC, and FFOVC, where the parts (a) show the response curves, and the parts (b) show the frequency spectrums. Meanwhile, in order to show the effectiveness of the proposed DAOVC clearly, the peak values and root-mean square (RMS) values of SMA, SD, and TD are shown in Table 2 compared with ones under the OL systems and FFOVC.

The peak values of DAOVC and FFOVC are 405.9 and 550, respectively. The RMS values of DAOVC and FFOVC are 146.7 and 237.1, respectively. Therefore, from Fig. 3, it can be seen...
clearly that the value of DAOVC is smaller than that of FFOVC. At the same time, the curve of DAOVC is smoother than that of FFOVC. It indicates that the consumption of DAOVC is smaller than that of the classical FFOVC.

In what follows, we will discuss the control performance under DAOVC and FFOVC. From the curves of SMC, SD, and TD shown in the (a) parts of Figs. 4-6, it can be seen that the values of SMA, SD, and TD under DAOVC can be converted to smaller values than those under the OL system and FFOVC. Meanwhile, the curves of SMA, SD, and TD under proposed DAOVC are smoother than those of OL system and FFOVC. Specially, under the DAOVC law,
the peak value of SMA is reduced to about 70.23 % compared to that of OL system, the RMS value of the sprung mass acceleration is reduced to about 66.76 %, the RMS of sprung deflection is reduced to about 67.37 %, and the RMS of tire deflection is reduced to about 72.30 % less than that of OL system. It indicates that the proposed DAOVC can improve the performance requirements of ride comfort, road holding ability, and suspension deflection more effectively. Therefore, it can be concluded that the control performance can be improved, and the nonlinear response can be compensated effectively under the proposed DAOVC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>SMA</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>TD</th>
<th>RMS (10^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak values</td>
<td>OL 3.215</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAOVC 0.957 (–70.23)</td>
<td>0.185 (–71.49)</td>
<td>0.081 (–73.53)</td>
<td>4.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FFOLVC 1.161 (–63.88)</td>
<td>0.329 (–49.31)</td>
<td>0.107 (–65.03)</td>
<td>5.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS values</td>
<td>DAOCV 0.493 (–66.76)</td>
<td>0.108 (–67.37)</td>
<td>0.041 (–72.30)</td>
<td>1.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FFOLVC 0.558 (–62.37)</td>
<td>0.151 (–49.95)</td>
<td>0.074 (–50.00)</td>
<td>2.371</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meanwhile, from the frequency spectrums of SMA, SD, and TD shown in the (b) parts of Figs. 4-6, the frequencies of SMA, SD, and TD are dispersed in the range [–20, 20] Hz under DAOVC. On the contrary, the frequency ranges under OL systems and FFOVC are wider than that of DAOVC. It indicates that the vibration frequencies of SMA, SD, and TD are restrained by DAOVC. It indicates that the vibration of vehicle body is eliminated effectively. Therefore, the vibration of vehicle body caused by road disturbances can be eliminated significantly by using the proposed DAOVC.

From Tables 1-2 and Figs. 3-6, it can be found that the designed DAOVC can effectively compensate the nonlinear responses and offset the vehicle body’s vibration caused by road disturbances. Meanwhile, the control performance of nonlinear vehicle active suspension can be improved significantly under DAOVC.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, the optimal vibration control problem for nonlinear vehicle active suspension
was discussed. The vibration control problem was reformulated as a nonlinear TPBV problem first. By introducing a sensitive parameter and using the Maclaurin series, the DAOVC was devised by solving a Riccati equation, Stein equation, and a nonlinear compensation. Also, an iteration algorithm was designed to realize the computational realizability of DAOVC. From simulation results, the values of SMA, SD, and TD can be converted to smaller ones by using the proposed DAOVC, and control performance can be improved effectively.
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