2323. Prediction of long-term creep deflection of seismic isolation bearings
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Abstract. Isolation structures use high damping rubber bearings (HDRBs), lead rubber bearings (LRBs), and natural rubber bearings (NRBs) in order to significantly reduce the seismic forces transmitted from a substructure to a superstructure. The laminated rubber bearing is the most important structural member of a seismic isolation system. We present an analysis of a 1000 hr ongoing creep test conducted at 7.5 and 8.37 MPa in our laboratory. The long term behavior of bridge bearings (e.g., laminated rubber bearings) is determined through compression creep tests subjected to actual environmental conditions. These tests indicate that the maximum creep deformation is about 0.3 to 1.92 % of the total rubber thickness.
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1. Introduction

A bridge bearing system must perform two functions: one is to resist vertical applied loads and deliver the loads to the substructure, and the other is to resist horizontal forces and displacements of the substructure and superstructure [1, 2]. These two functions are critical factors that are directly related to the horizontality of the bridge structure. In consideration of the durability of a laminated rubber bearing, the long term creep deformation made by rubber layers aging by oxidization and by axis compressive stress over the long term is very important [3]. However, in actual practice, it is hard to determine the necessary data, since creep deformation is influenced by the loading time of the bridge's upper structure and the temperature conditions [4]. Thus, the vertical deformation due to long term creep of a lead rubber bearing (LRB) is usually not considered during the design. Generally, the performance of a seismic isolation system such as a laminated rubber bearing is characterized by special tests such as a compressive test or compressive shear test on the material used in the bearing.

According to previous studies, creep tests have been performed on rubber test specimens which separated into chloroprene (CR) and natural rubber (NR) [5, 6]. However, the properties of a specimen’s rubber material can be analogized but cannot be defined as the estimation of the laminated rubber bearing. C. J. Deham and R. A. Waller have estimated 100 years of bearing life after creep deformation of a laminated rubber bearing designed to isolate buildings from subway vibration by measuring its deformation changes for 15 years [7]. However, this approach is not effective since it takes too much time to estimate the results by measuring data.

In the present research, a creep test was performed on three types of laminated rubber bearings such as high damping rubber bearings (HDRBs), lead rubber bearings (LRBs), and natural rubber bearing (NRBs) for 100 hr. The test result was used to analyze the creep properties of laminated rubber bearing. Also, we forecast the durable span of a structure after 60 and 100 yr of use [8]. It is necessary to clearly identify the properties of creep of a laminated rubber bearing under the high compression stress conditions of a real seismic isolation structure. In this regard, we estimated and analyzed long term deformation through creep tests according to the types of axis stress and laminated rubber bearings. After measuring the rubber’s expansion and compression with respect to temperature changes, the test results show that the amount of creep will not exceed 10 % of the total rubber thickness after 100 years of use.
2. Test specimens

The HDRBs, LRBs, and NRBs used in the tests are seismic isolation system components, and are designed to match the dynamic characteristics of bridges and buildings. They are laminated with rubber and armature plates. The LRB has a lead plug installed in the center of specimen [9]. The HDRN and LRB dissipate energy in each cycle (EDC), but NRB has relatively EDC than above two specimens. The specimens used in the creep test and fatigue test are designed to satisfy various conditions: a serviceability limit state with respect to a design compression force, resistance against wind loads, and an ultimate limit state due to an earthquake. The specimens used in this research were manufactured as standard specimens per ISO 22762 [10]. The section shape and composition are shown in Fig. 1.

The specification and structure of test specimens are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2. There is a difference in the size of the specimens, but their main material composition is natural rubber. As shown in Fig. 3, specimens No. 1, No. 2, and No. 3 are HDRBs that have a damping to the rubber itself. No. 4 is an LRB, which has lead insuled inside of a rubber bearing. Specimen No. 5. is a general NRB. The specimen’s diameter includes an outside rubber thickness of 10 mm, with inner layer laminated rubber thicknesses of 2 and 3 mm, and an inner laminated plate layer thickness of 3 mm. $S_1$ is the primary shape factor (the ratio of the load square to the free surface square,
including one hole inside the rubber layer) [11]. $S_2$ is the secondary shape factor, which represents the effective width ratio versus the total thickness of the inner rubber layer [12]. Each shape factor was calculated as follows:

$$S_1 = \left( \frac{D_s - D_h}{4t_i} \right), \quad S_2 = \left( \frac{D_s}{n t_i} \right),$$

where $D_s$ is the diameter of the inner plate, $D_h$ is the diameter of the inner hole, $t_i$ is the thickness of a rubber layer, and $n$ is the number of rubber layers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Rubber thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Number of layers</th>
<th>$S_1$</th>
<th>$S_2$</th>
<th>Axial stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Shear modulus $(G)$ (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. 1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 4</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 5</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Specification of laminated rubber bearing

HDRB: Nos. 1, 2, and 3. LRB: No. 4. NRB: No. 5.
Note: the 10 mm thickness of a protection rubber layer is inclusive.

Fig. 3. Creep and fatigue test specimens

3. Test specimens

Fig. 4 A fatigue testing machine was used to perform the creep test on the laminated rubber bearings. The force displacement of the testing machine is a horizontal displacement of ±200 mm and the available vertical load is ±2000 kN. Deviation of the compressive load is less than 3 %. The specifications of testing machine are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Fatigue tester specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Max. load (kN)</th>
<th>Max. stroke</th>
<th>Max. speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>±2000</td>
<td>±100 mm</td>
<td>100 mm/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>±500</td>
<td>±200 mm</td>
<td>250 mm/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The latest performance test standard for laminated rubber bearings is ISO 22762 (Elastomeric Seismic-protection Isolations). ISO 22762 describes an LRB in a seismic isolation system used for protecting a bridge or building from earthquake damage in three parts. Part 1 describes the test procedure for the laminated rubber bearing [13, 14]. Part 2 describes the design standards [15, 16]. Part 3 describes product inspection standards [17, 18]. In this study, we fabricated the specimens and executed the tests according to ISO 22762 Part 1. In addition, we executed the creep test using same specimen after performing dependence tests including compression properties and shear properties, as defined by ISO 22762.
The creep test is performed to determine the deformation of a laminated rubber bearing under constant long term compression without shear train. The creep was measured at 10 more points of specimen during three cases of periods such as 10^9 hr to 10^1 hr, 10^1 hr to 10^2 hr and 10^2 hr to 10^3 hr.

Specimen No. 1 was tested under 23 °C temperature conditions, and the other two specimens were tested under a constant temperature of 23±2 °C to determine the long term deformation of a laminated rubber bearing versus temperature. The vertical displacement of the specimen was measured by installing two highly sensitive displacement devices and the vertical displacement was determined by the mean of two measured values. The test conditions are shown in Fig. 5. The vertical load was a compressive stress applied for 1 min. The compression force loads applied to the specimens were as follows: Nos. 1, 2, and 3 were 370 kN each, No. 4 was 580 kN, and No. 5 was 450 kN. The deviation of the compressive load was less than 3 %.

4. Test specimens

Fig. 6 shows the change in vertical displacement immediately after applying the compressive load during the temperature change condition. This vertical displacement is the relative displacement with respect to the vertical displacement against the LRB, and would decrease with expansion of the laminated rubber.

Specimen No. 1 was tested at 23 °C. Under the temperature changing condition, it is necessary to convert the temperature to compare the displacement of specimen No. 1 with another specimen that was tested under a constant temperature of 23±2 °C [19]. The relation of vertical displacement at 23 °C with \( \Delta r_{23} \) is as follows:

\[
\Delta r_{23} = \Delta r_T + n t_r (T - 23) \alpha,
\]

where \( \Delta r_{23} \) means the change in the vertical displacement at 23 °C, and \( \Delta r_T \) is the change in the vertical displacement at \( T \) °C. \( T \) is the surface temperature (°C) of the test specimen, and \( \alpha \) is the coefficient of linear thermal expansion (23 °C at \( T \) °C).

The coefficient of thermal expansion of specimen No. 1 at each time interval is given in Table 3. The creep deformation rate is calculated as follows:

\[
\varepsilon_{cr} = \frac{\Delta H_{23}}{n t_r} \times 100.
\]

The coefficients \( a \) and \( b \) of the functional equation given by Eq. (4) can be calculated by the creep deformation of specimen Nos. 2 to 5 (with test temperatures constantly maintained at 23±2 °C. The result is substituted into Eq. (5) [20]. Here, \( \varepsilon_{cr} \) is the percentage of total rubber thickness with respect to the creep amount after decades of use, and \( t \) is the time in hours:
\[
\log_{10} \varepsilon_{cr} = \log_{10} a + b \log_{10} t,
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{cr} = at^b.
\]

We measured the vertical displacement with a loading design compressive load for 1000 hr under different conditions using three types of laminated rubber bearings. The test results show that specimen No. 1 tested at air temperature has 2.7 times and 3.9 times the vertical deformation of specimen Nos. 2 and 3, respectively, when tested under constant temperature. No. 3 (the high damping rubber bearing) had the smallest vertical deformation. Fig. 6 shows the test results for each specimen for 1000 hr.

Fig. 7 shows the change in vertical displacement versus test temperature after applying the design compressive load. Specimen No. 1 was tested under °C and shows a sharp increase in vertical deformation as the temperature decreased. On the other hand, specimen No. 2 was tested under a constant temperature of 23±2 °C and shows a relatively stable and gradual increase in vertical deformation. The vertical displacement also shows repeated compression and expansion of the rubber with temperature changes. Fig. 8 shows the vertical deformation versus temperature change. The lower the temperature, the higher the vertical displacement, and with higher temperature, the vertical displacement decreases. Figs. 9 and 10 show the mutual relationship between the shear modulus (\(G\)) and primary shape factor (\(S_1\)). If the section property and the shape factor are the same, an increase in shear modulus produces an increase in the amount of creep. Also, with an increase in the primary shape factor (\(S_1\)), the vertical rigidity increases and the creep amount decreases. Specimen No. 4 in Fig. 10 has a lead plug in the central laminated rubber. In this case, the creep amount and the shape factor (\(S_1\)) increaseafter 100 hrs. Compared with the same size specimen (No. 5), the axis compressive stress is large, but the area receiving compressive load decreases due to the lead plug inside. Thus, its vertical deformation increases after a certain amount of time.

Table 4 shows a semi-logarithmic time axis of creep after 60 and 100 years of use according to Eq. (5). We estimated that the amount of creep in a high damping rubber bearing (Nos. 1, 2, and 3) under compressive stress of 7.5 MPa after 100 yr to be 0.56 to 2.92 mm. We also estimated that the lead rubber bearing (No. 4) creep amount is 1.16 mm and the compressive stress rubber bearing is 1.08 mm. According to Oh et al., rubber creep visually occurs as many years pass, it decreases as the primary shape factor (\(S_1\)) increases, and it depends on the value of \(S_1\) regardless of the axis stress. If this is correct, the creep test on the laminated rubber bearing should be performed over a much longer period, and should be studied through long term creep test by converting shape factor. Table 4 shows the creep data and its rate of change after 60 yrs and 100 yrs of use by using vertical

---

Table 3. Thermal coefficient \(\alpha\) (mm / °C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>1 to 100 hr</th>
<th>101 to 1000 hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear gradient</td>
<td>-0.000371094</td>
<td>-0.000329716</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figs. 6 and 7 show the changes in vertical deformation versus time.
displacement data of the laminated rubber bearing after a loading design compression load was applied for 1000 hrs. The long-term creep estimate was calculated as the semi-logarithmic according to Eqs. (4) and (5), as shown in Fig. 11.

![Fig. 7. Relationship between vertical deformation and temperature](image7)

![Fig. 8. Relationship between vertical deformation and temperature for fluctuation of the compressive load](image8)

![Fig. 9. Effect of shear modulus (G)](image9)

![Fig. 10. Effect of primary shape factor (S₁)](image10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Estimated creep value (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HDRB test specimens No. 1, 2, and 3 are expected to deform by 0.54, 1.54, and 2.77 mm, respectively, after 60 yrs of use under the design compression stress condition. The deformation rate of each test specimen is expected to deform from a minimum of 1.08 % to a maximum of 5.54 % after 60 yrs of use. Here, the creep deformation rate was calculated by dividing the estimated creep data that was based on the test results by the total thickness of the rubber layers.
5. Conclusions

We performed creep tests in order to determine the creep deformation characteristics of an LRB, HDRB, and LRB, and NRB manufactured based on seismic isolation design that is not usually considered at the design stage. The creep tests were performed for 1000 hr on three types of laminated rubber bearing: three specimens used high damping rubber, one specimen had lead inside the laminated rubber, and one specimen was made of regular rubber. After the test, we analyzed the measurement data and creep changes according to factors such as temperature changes and the shear modulus. We then estimated the rate of change of creep deformation after 60 years of common use. Our test results are summarized as follows:

1) The analysis of the relationship between creep vertical deformation and temperature based on the laminated rubber bearing test results at 23 °C and 23±2 shows that a higher temperature results in a lower chance of creep deformation, and a lower temperature increases the chance of creep deformation.

2) On the condition that the size and the shape factor of shape are same, then a larger shear modulus results in an increase in creep. Also, as the primary shape factor ($S_1$) increases, the vertical rigidity increases and the creep amount decreases.

3) The creep deformation calculation for three types of laminated rubber bearing under compressive load for 1000 hours shows that the deformation after 60 years is 0.56 to 2.92 mm.

4) The laminated rubber bearing used in this research was exposed to outside environmental changeable factors such as oxidation in the air, and ozone for longer period than usual period of use on the bridge system. In this regard, a long term creep test which considers outside environmental factors that could influence creep deformation should be performed.
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